

Human Journals **Research Article** April 2022 Vol.:21, Issue:2 © All rights are reserved by Gayathri. S et al.

Correlation between Quality of Life and Self-Esteem among Elderly Persons







www.ijsrm.humanjournals.com

Keywords: Quality of life, Self-esteem, Elderly persons

ABSTRACT

Background: Quality of life (QOL) and Self-esteem among the elderly is an important area of concern that reflects the health status and well-being of this vulnerable population. Their number in the developing world is increasing due to demographic transition. **Aim:** The aim of the study was conducted to find the correlation between Quality of life and self-esteem among elderly persons.

Methodology: A Quantitative correlational survey research design was conducted with 200 elderly persons who were selected by using the convenience sampling technique. Data were collected by using the socio-demographic variable, WHO Questionnaire (WHO QOL-BREF), and Rosenberg Self-esteem Scale was used in this study.

Results: The results revealed that among most elderly persons 53% had low quality of life and 47% had a high quality of life. And also the majority of elderly people 80.5% had normal self-esteem, 12.5 % had high self-esteem and 7% had low self-esteem. The correlational value indicated a substantial positive correlation between Quality of life and Self-esteem among elderly persons. **Conclusion:** The study concluded that the self-esteem of the elderly increases their Quality of Life also improves.

I. INTRODUCTION

Aging is an intrinsic, active and progressive process, accompanied by physical, physiological, and psychological changes, which can cause difficulty for elderly persons to cope with their daily routine. In this scenario, the increase in life expectancy becomes a phenomenon of interest given its impact on quality of life (QoL) at this stage of life.^[1]

Quality of life (QOL) is defined by the **World Health Organization** (WHO) as an "individual's perception of their position in life in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live and to their goals, expectations, standards, and concerns".^[2]

The WHO further notes that Quality Of Life is a concept with several domains, including physical and mental health, social functioning, and emotional well-being. Quality Of Life has been conceptualized in many ways depending on the discipline, paradigm, and time frame of research examining QOL.^[3]

India is facing many challenges within the style of the weak economic process, weak pension system, and null infrastructure for aging people, and above all lack of political will makes life miserable for the senior citizens in India. Problems of aging usually appear after the age of 65 years. At this age, senior citizens face Medical, Economic, Social, and Psychological problems.^[4]

Consequently, health professionals must implement strategies to encourage the elderly to live well, to attain the best QoL. The feeling of happiness and joy, the feeling of being at peace with life and oneself is healthy especially when one is aging. Reaching this stage of life with optimism, self-control, and high self-esteem can bring an increased sense of security in living the last stage of the life cycle and impacts positively QoL.^[5]

Self-esteem is the belief in one's ability to think, confidence in one's right for achievement, happiness and worthiness, and expression of the needs and desires. 10 Self-esteem is associated with the beliefs and images we have about ourselves, and is a measurement of how much we love and accept ourselves or others.^[6]

Self-esteem can be characterized as the feeling, appreciation, and consideration that people have for themselves, namely how much they like themselves, how they see, and what they think about themselves.^[7]

National surveys conducted with the elderly with self-esteem as the goal of the study did not evaluate the QoL and involved people who practiced some form of physical activity. Thus, considering the lack of national studies, as well as the possible cultural differences and specificities of the elderly, the association between QoL and self-esteem among the elderly in a community, measured using specific tools for this age group is yet to be answered.^[8]

This research aims to contribute to further knowledge in this field, to support strategies that can influence the domains and issues of QoL, based on maintaining the self-esteem of the elderly. Thus, the objective of this study was to investigate the association between the QoL and the self-esteem of the elderly in a community.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM:

"A Correlational study between Quality of life and Self-esteem among elderly persons residing in selected areas, Puducherry".

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- 1. To assess the Quality of life among the elderly person.
- 2. To assess the level of Self-esteem among the elderly person.

3. To identify the relationship between the Quality of life and Self- esteem among elderly people.

4. To find out the association between quality of life with selected demographic variables among elderly people.

5. To find out the association between the level of self-esteem with selected demographic variables among the elderly people.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A Correlational survey design with a convenience sampling technique was used to select the sample. Total samples of 200 elderly persons were selected. The data were collected after obtaining permission from the concerned authority. Informed consent was obtained from each sample before data collection. The setting chosen to conduct the study was selected areas at

Puducherry. The tool used for this study was the standardized tool WHO QOL- BREF Scale and Rosenberg self-esteem scale for assessment of the Quality of life and Self-esteem for this study.

Formal permission was obtained from the concerned authorities for conducting the study. The researcher introduced herself and explained the purpose of the study. The participants were fully informed about the study objectives and informed written consent was obtained. Both Descriptive and inferential statistics were used to analyze the data.

III. RESULTS

The study results on demographic variables revealed that, concerning age, Out of 200 majorities of the elderly persons (64.5%) were aged between 65-72 years, To the Gender shows that most of the participants (53.5%) were female, In Residence shows that majority of them (80%) were residing in urban area and respect to Religion shows that almost all of them (72%) were in Hindu religion, For marital status, majority of participants 130(65%) were married, In Educational status shows that majority of study population 79(39.5%) were studied SSLC, In the Previous occupation shows that majority of participants (47.5%) were retired from service, Relating to monthly family income shows that most of the income (55.5%) had a monthly family income of $\leq 10,001$.

HUMAN

To a number of children shows that most of them (43.5%) had more than 2 children, To the type of family shows that majority of participants (49.5%) belonged to nuclear family and To the source of income shows that most of them (77%) had pension as a source of income, In Health, status shows that majority of them, (31%) had Diabetes mellitus, Regarding perceived family support, most of the elderly persons (79.5%) had perceived family support and types of family support shows that majority of participants (41%) received psychological and emotional support from the family, (40%) were sharing household activities and (19%) were taking care of children/others.

 Table 1: Frequency and Percentage wise Distribution of Quality of life among Elderly person.

N = 200

	Non-A	cceptable				
Domains of Quality of Life	(<m< th=""><th>edian)</th><th colspan="4">Acceptable (>Median)</th></m<>	edian)	Acceptable (>Median)			
(QoL)&Median	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage		
	(n)	(%)	(n)	(%)		
Physical Domain (44)	114	57.0	86	43.0		
Psychological Domain(50)	142	71.0	58	29.0		
Social Domain (56)	115	57.50	85	42.50		
Environmental Domain (56)	147	73.50	53	26.50		
Overall QoL (55)	106	53.0	94	47.0		
			1	•		

*Non-Acceptable – Low Quality of life, Acceptable – High Quality of life.

The above table portrayed the overall Quality of Life revealed that 106(53%) had low Quality of life and 94(47%) had high Quality of life. Concerning physical domain, 114(57%) had low Quality of life, and 86(43%) had high Quality of life. Concerning the psychological domain, 142(71%) had low Quality of life and 58(29%) had high Quality of life. Considering the social domain, 115(57.5%) had low Quality of life and 85(42.5%) had high Quality of life. Regarding the environmental domain of Quality of Life, 147(73.5%) had low Quality of life and 53(26.5%) had high Quality of life.



Fig.1. Percentage distribution of Quality of life among Elderly person

 Table 2: Frequency and Percentage wise Distribution of level of self-esteem among Elderly people.

HUMAN

(N = 200)

Self Esteem	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Low Self Esteem $(0 - 14)$	14	7.0
Normal Self Esteem (15 – 25)	161	80.5
High Self Esteem (26 – 30)	25	12.5

The above table shows the Frequency and Percentage-wise distribution of Self-esteem in most of the elderly people 161(80.5%) had normal self-esteem, 25(12.5%) had high self-esteem and 14(7%) had low self-esteem.



Fig.2. Percentage distribution of level of self-esteem among elderly person

Table 3: Correlation between Quality of life (QoL) and Self-esteem among Elderly persons.

N = 200

		HUM	Correlation	Level of
Variables	Mean	S.D	(r)	significance
Quality of Life	59.09	7.38	0.410	P=0.0001
Self Esteem	20.88	3.94	0.410	S***

***p<0.001, S –Significant

The above table showed the Correlation between Quality of life and Self- esteem among elderly persons in the study group. In mean and standard deviation scores for level of quality of life and self-esteem were 59.09 ± 7.38 and 20.88 ± 3.94 . Correlation between level of Quality of life and Self-esteem indicates that substantial **positive correlation** and shows that the r-value was (0.410), the p-value was **0.0001**were highly significant respectively. It infers that when the self-esteem of the elderly increases their Quality of Life also improves.



Fig.3. Scatter Dot diagram showing the correlation between Quality of life (QoL) and Selfesteem among elderly persons

 Table 4: Association of domains of quality of life among elderly persons with selected demographic variables.

. \	÷.	1.5	Ŀ.	÷.	Ζ.
-					-

N =	200
-----	-----

	Physical	Psychological	Social	Environmental
Demographic Variables	Chi- Square Value	Chi- Square Value	Chi- Square Value	Chi- Square Value
Age in years	χ ² =13.989	χ ² =6.482	χ ² =0.519	$\chi^2 = 1.981$
65 - 72	d.f=2	d.f=2	d.f=2	d.f=2
73 – 79	p = 0.001	p = 0.039	p = 0.772	p = 0.371
80 - 89	S***	S*	(N.S)	(N.S)
Gender	χ ² =2.058	χ ² =0.379	χ ² =0.179	χ ² =0.043
Male	d.f=1	d.f=1	d.f=1	d.f=1
Female	p = 0.151	p = 0.538	p = 0.672	p = 0.836
Others	(N.S)	(N.S)	(N.S)	(N.S)
Residence	χ ² =0.184	$\chi^2 = 0.055$	χ ² =0.128	χ ² =1.085

	Physical	Psychological	Social	Environmental	
Demographic Variables	Chi-	Chi-	Chi-	Chi-	
Demographic variables	Square	Sauare Value	Square	Square	
	Value	Square value	Value	Value	
Urban	d.f=1	d.f=1	d.f=1	d.f=1	
Rural	p = 0.668	p = 0.815	p = 0721	p = 0.298	
Kulai	(N.S)	(N.S)	(N.S)	(N.S)	
Religion	$x^2 - 1.127$	$x^{2}-0.802$	$x^{2}-0.537$	$x^{2}-1$ 272	
Hindu	$\chi = 1.127$	$\chi = 0.802$	$\chi = 0.337$	$\chi = 1.272$	
Muslim	u.1-2	u.1-2	u.1-2	u.1-2	
Christian	p = 0.309	p = 0.070	p = 0.703	p = 0.329	
Others	(11.3)	(11.5)	(11.5)	(11.5)	
Marital status			w ² 1 206	2 2 480	
Married	χ-=9.380	$\chi^{-=11.925}$	$\chi^{-1.290}$	$\chi^{-=2.480}$	
Widow(er)	a.I=2	a. I=2	0.I=2	d.I=2	
Divorce, Separated	p = 0.009	p = 0.005	p = 0.523	$\mathbf{p} = 0.289$	
Unmarried	5**	5**	(11.5)	(11.5)	
Educational status		IUMAN			
Illiterate	2 4 9 4 9 4	2 = 000	2 6 2 7 0	2	
SSLC	χ²=12.491	$\chi^2 = 5.992$	$\chi^2 = 6.279$	$\chi^2 = 7.759$	
Primary education	d.f=5	d.f=5	d.f=5	d.f=5	
Secondary education	p = 0.029	p = 0.307	p = 0.280	p = 0.170	
Undergraduate	- S*	(N.S)	(N.S)	(N.S)	
Postgraduate					
Previous occupation					
Housewife	χ ² =8.159	χ ² =1.776	χ ² =5.232	χ ² =4.597	
Unemployed	d.f=3	d.f=3	d.f=3	d.f=3	
Unskilled	p = 0.043	p = 0.620	p = 0.156	p = 0.204	
Professional	S*	(N.S)	(N.S)	(N.S)	
Services					

	Physical	Psychological	Social	Environmental		
Demographic Variables	Chi-	Chi-	Chi-	Chi-		
Demographic variables	Square	Cm- Squara Valua	Square	Square		
	Value	Square value	Value	Value		
Retired						
Monthly family income						
≥1,99,862						
Rs.99,931 – 199,862	χ ² =13.846	$\chi^2 = 0.646$	$\chi^2 = 6.577$	$\chi^2 = 1.813$		
Rs.74,755 – 99.930	d.f=4	d.f=4	d.f=4	d.f=4		
Rs.49,962 – 74,755	p = 0.006	p = 0.958	p = 0.160	p = 0.770		
Rs.29,973 – 49,961	S**	(N.S)	(N.S)	(N.S)		
Rs.10,002 – 29,972						
≤10,001						
Number of children	$x^2 - 1.607$	w ² -10 685	w ² -4 278	$x^2 - 2.013$		
No child	$\chi = 1.007$	χ==10.005	$\chi = 4.270$	$\chi = 2.915$		
1	-0.1=3	a.1=3	0.1=5 m 0.222	d.1=3		
2	p = 0.038	p = 0.014	p = 0.255	$\mathbf{p} = 0.403$		
More than 2		IUMAN	(11.5)	(11.5)		
Type of family	χ ² =8.394	χ ² =4.722	χ ² =0.517	$\chi^2 = 3.592$		
Joint	d.f=2	d.f=2	d.f=2	d.f=2		
Nuclear family	p = 0.015	p = 0.094	p = 0.772	p = 0.166		
Living along	S*	(N.S)	(N.S)	(N.S)		
Source of income	x ² -9 351	$x^{2}-1579$	$\chi^2 - 6.247$	$x^2 - 0.436$		
Pension	$\chi = 7.554$	$\chi = 1.579$	$\chi = 0.247$	$\chi = 0.430$		
Services	n = 0.025	u.1-3	u.1-3	n = 0.022		
Agriculture	- p - 0.023 S*	p = 0.004	p = 0.100	p = 0.955		
Business						
Health status	$x^2 - 4.022$	$x^2 - 2.852$	w ² -8 500	$x^2 - 3.636$		
Diabetes mellitus	$\chi = 4.922$	$\chi = 2.833$	$\chi = 0.308$	$\chi = 3.030$		
Hypertension	- u.1 <u>-</u> 4	u.1—4	u.1-4	u.1—4		

	Physical	Psychological	Social	Environmental
Demographic Variables	Chi- Square Value	Chi- Square Value	Chi- Square Value	Chi- Square Value
Coronary Artery Disease	p = 0.295	p = 0.583	p = 0.075	p = 0.458
Any previous surgery	(N.S)	(N.S)	(N.S)	(N.S)
Healthy				
Perceived family support	χ ² =0.333	$\chi^2 = 1.244$	$\chi^2 = 1.473$	χ ² =0.118
Yes	d.f=1	d.f=1	d.f=1	d.f=1
No	p = 0.564	p = 0.265	p = 0.225	p = 0.731
	(N.S)	(N.S)	(N.S)	(N.S)
Types of family support				
Psychological and	$\gamma^2 = 1.792$	$x^2 = 1.445$	$\chi^2 = 5.418$	$x^2 = 2.585$
emotional	$\lambda = 1.752$	$f_{\lambda} = 1.115$	$\lambda = 0.110$	$\lambda = 2.505$
Sharing household	n = 0.408	n = 0.486	n = 0.067	n = 0.275
activities	p = 0.400	p = 0.400	p = 0.007	p = 0.275 (N S)
Taking care of	(11.5)	(11.5)	(11.5)	(11.5)
children/others	L L	IUMAN		

***p≤0.001, **p<0.01, *<0.05, S – Significant, N.S – Not Significant

Table 4 shows that concerning physical domain the demographic variable age in years (χ^2 =13.989, p=0.001) had shown statistically significant association with physical domain quality of life of the elderly persons at a p<0.001 level. The demographic variables marital status (χ^2 =9.380, p=0.009) and monthly family income (χ^2 =13.846, p=0.006) had shown statistically significant association with physical domain quality of life of the elderly person atp<0.01 level. The demographic variables educational status (χ^2 =12.491, p=0.029), previous occupation (χ^2 =8.159, p=0.043), type of family (χ^2 =8.394, p=0.015), and source of income (χ^2 =9.354, p=0.025) had shown statistically significant association with physical domain quality of life of the physical domain quality of life of the elderly persons at p<0.05 level. The other demographic variables had not shown a

statistically significant association with physical domain quality of life of the elderly persons at p<0.05 level.

Table 4 also shows that concerning the psychological domain the demographic variable marital status (χ^2 =11.925, p=0.003) had shown statistically significant association with the psychological domain quality of life of the elderly persons at p<0.01 level. The demographic variables age in years (χ^2 =6.482, p=0.039) and several children (χ^2 =10.685, p=0.014) had shown statistically significant association with psychological domain quality of life of the elderly persons at p<0.05 level. The other demographic variables had not shown a statistically significant association with the psychological domain quality of life of the elderly persons at p<0.05 level. The table also infers that none of the demographic variables had shown statistically significant association with the social and environmental domain of Quality of life of elderly persons at p<0.05 level and p<0.01 respectively.

Table 5:	Association	of	Self-esteem	among	Elderly	persons	with	selected	demographic
variables	5.			1					

Demographic Variables	Low		Normal		High		Chi-Square Value		
Demographic variables	No.	. % No. % No. %		Cin-Square value					
Age in years									n –
65 – 72	10	5.0	104	52.0	15	7.5	$\chi^2 = 4.$	d.f=	Р – 0 356
73 – 79	1	0.5	43	21.5	7	3.5	389	4	(N S)
80 - 89	3	1.5	14	7.0	3	1.5	-		(11.5)
Gender							χ^2-1		n –
Male	8	4.0	72	36.0	13	6.5	$\chi -1.$	d.f= 2	р — 0 564
Female	6	3.0	89	44.5	12	6.0	- 140		(N S)
Others									(11.5)
Residence									p =
Urban	13	6.5	125	62.5	22	11.0	$\chi^2 = 3.$	d.f=	0.222
Rural	1	0.5	36	18.0	3	1.5	007	2	(N.S)

N = 200

Citation: Gayathri. S et al. Ijsrm.Human, 2022; Vol. 21 (2): 148-166.

Demographic Variables	Low		Norm	al	High	l	Chi-Square Value		Value	
Demographic variables	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%			aiuc	
Religion										
Hindu	13	6.5	116	58.0	15	7.5	$\chi^2 = 5.$	d.f=	p =	
Muslim	1	0.5	20	10.0	4	2.0	249	4	0.263	
Christian	0	0	25	12.5	6	3.0			(N.S)	
Others	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Marital status										
Married	10	5.0	100	50.0	20	10.0	$\chi^2 = 5.$	d.f=	p =	
Widow(er)	2	1.0	13	6.5	2	1.0	193	4	0.268	
Divorce, Separated	-	-	-	-	-	-			(N.S)	
Unmarried	2	1.0	48	24.0	3	1.5				
Educational status										
Illiterate	2	1.0	18	9.0	3	1.5				
SSLC	4	2.0	67	33.5	8	4.0	χ ² =23	d.f=	p =	
Primary education	6	3.0	49	24.5	4	2.0	.725	10	0.008	
Secondary education	1	0.5	18	9.0	3	1.5			S**	
Undergraduate	1	0.5	9	4.5	5	2.5				
Postgraduate	0	0	0	0	2	1.0				
Previous occupation										
Housewife	6	3.0	75	37.5	7	3.5				
Unemployed	1	0.5	2	1.0	0	0	χ ² =8.	16	p =	
Unskilled	-	-	-	-	-	-	028	0.1=	0.236	
Professional	-	-	-	-	-	-		0	(N.S)	
Services	1	0.5	12	6.0	1	0.5				
Retired	6	3.0	72	36.0	17	8.5	1			
Monthly family income							a,2_ 0	4.6		
≥1,99,862	-	-	-	-	-	-	$\chi = \delta.$	u.1=	p = 0.350	
Rs.99,931 – 199,862	-	-	-	-	-	-	803	0	(NS)	
Rs.74,755 – 99.930	0	0	2	1.0	1	0.5	1		(11.0)	

Demographic Variables	Low		Normal		High		Chi-Sayara Valua		
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	Chi-Square Value		
Rs.49,962 - 74,755	0	0	2	1.0	1	0.5	-		
Rs.29,973 – 49,961	1	0.5	14	7.0	2	1.0	-		
Rs.10,002 – 29,972	5	2.5	48	24.0	13	6.5			
≤10,001	8	4.0	95	47.5	8	4.0			
Number of children									
No child	2	1.0	12	6.0	3	1.5	$\chi^2 = 8.$	d.f=	p =
1	0	0	15	7.5	1	0.5	724	6	0.190
2	6	3.0	69	34.5	5	2.5	_		(N.S)
More than 2	6	3.0	65	32.5	15	7.5	-		
Type of family								16	
Joint	2	1.0	45	22.5	9	4.5	$-\chi^2=3.$ - 637	d.1= 4	p=
Nuclear family	10	5.0	78	39.0	11	5.5			0.437 (N S)
Living along	2	1.0	38	19.0	5	2.5	_		(11.5)
Source of income		1	h.	Les !!					
Pension	9	4.5	127	63.5	18	9.0	χ ² =17	d.f=	p =
Services	0	0	1	0.5	0	0	.835	6	0.007
Agriculture	2	1.0	1	0.5	0	0	-		S**
Business	3	1.5	32	16.0	7	3.5			
Health status									
Diabetes mellitus	5	2.5	49	24.5	8	4.0	_		
Hypertension	3	1.5	40	20.0	7	3.5			
Coronary Artery Disease	1	0.5	14	7.0	0	0			p =
Any previous surgery	2	1.0	9	4.5	1	0.5	$\chi^2=4.$	d.f=	0.767
Healthy	3	1.5	49	24.5	9	4.5	912	8	(N.S)
Perceived family							2.0	1.6	p =
support							$\chi^{-}=0.$	a.t=	0.837
Yes	11	5.5	127	63.5	21	10.5	320		(N.S)

Demographic Variables	Low		Normal		High		Chi-Square Value		
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%			
No	3	1.5	34	17.0	4	2.0			
Types of family support									
Psychological and emotional	4	2.0	69	34.5	9	4.5	χ ² =6. 476	d.f= 4	p = 0.166 (N.S)
Sharing household activities	9	4.5	58	29.0	13	6.5			
Taking care of children / others	1	0.5	34	17.0	3	1.5			

**p<0.01, *<0.05, S – Significant, N.S – Not Significant

Table 5 shows that the demographics variable, educational status (χ^2 =23.725, p=0.008), source of income (χ^2 =17.835, p=0.007) had shown statistically significant association with the selfesteem of the elderly persons at p<0.05 level and p<0.01 respectively. The other demographic variables had shown statistically no significant association with the level of self-esteem of the elderly persons at p<0.05 level and p<0.01 respectively.

IV. DISCUSSION

The first objective of the study was to assess the quality of life among elderly people in selected areas. The frequency and percentage-wise distribution for assessment of the quality of life among elderly persons in selected areas. Overall Quality of Life revealed that (53%) had low Quality of life and (47%) had high Quality of life.

The study finding revealed that, For the physical domain, 114(57%) had low Quality of life, and 86(43%) had high Quality of life. In the psychological domain, 142(71%) had low Quality of life and 58(29%) had high Quality of life. Considering the social domain, 115(57.5%) had low Quality of life and 85(42.5%) had high Quality of life. Regarding the environmental domain of Quality of Life, 147(73.5%) had low Quality of life and 53(26.5%) had high Quality of life.

The present study was supported by **Karmakar N, Datta A, Nag K, Tripura K** conducted a study on Cross-sectional study to assess the Quality of life among the geriatric population in

rural areas of Sepahijala District, Tripura. The WHO QOL-BREF scale was used and analysis was done using SPSS version 20.0 statistical software. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. The present study revealed social relationship domain had a higher mean QOL score in comparison to other domains, but the contrastingly psychological domain was affected worst in the "old age group." Further research can be done to explore the factors affecting the psychological domain.^[9]

The second objective of the study was to assess the level of self-esteem among elderly persons in selected areas. The study findings revealed that most of the elderly people (80.5%) had normal self-esteem, (12.5%) had high self-esteem, and (7%) had low self-esteem.

The present study was supported by **Nanthamongkolchai. S, et al** Conducted a cross-sectional study on Self-Esteem among 270 Elderly in Rural Areas of Nakhon Sawan Province. The simple random sampling technique was used for this study. The researcher's instrument was used Rosenberg self-esteem scale to assess self-esteem. The majority of the elderly persons (65.6%) had a moderate level of self-esteem, followed by a low level (19.3%) and a high level (15.1%). The elderly should be encouraged to participate in family and social activities.^[10]

The third objective of the study was to identify the relationship between Quality of life and selfesteem among elderly persons in selected areas. The mean score for Quality of life was 59.09 ± 7.38 and the mean score for self-esteem was 20.88 ± 3.94 . The calculated Karl Pearson's correlation value of r=0.410 showed a substantial positive correlation between Quality of life and Self-esteem among elderly people i.e. when self-esteem increases their Quality of life also improves. The above results showed there was a significant substantial positive relationship between Quality of life and Self- esteem among elderly persons. Hence **Hypothesis was accepted** and found to be statistically significant.

The fourth objective of the study was to find out the association between quality of life with selected demographic variables.

Association of domains of quality of life with selected demographic variables among elderly persons showed that concerning physical domain the demographic variable age in years (X^2 =13.989, p=0.001) had shown statistically significant association with physical domain quality of life of the elderly persons at a p<0.001 level. The demographic variables marital status

(X^2 =9.380, p=0.009) and monthly family income (X^2 =13.846, p=0.006) had shown statistically significant association with physical domain quality of life of the elderly persons at p<0.01 level. The demographic variables educational status (X^2 =12.491,p=0.029), previous occupation (X^2 =8.159, p=0.043), type of family (X^2 =8.394, p=0.015), and source of income (X^2 =9.354, p=0.025) had shown statistically significant association with physical domain quality of life of the elderly persons at p<0.05 level. The other demographic variables had not shown a statistically significant association with physical domain quality of life of the elderly persons at p<0.05 level.

Concerning the psychological domain, the demographic variable marital status ($X^2=11.925$, p=0.003) had shown a statistically significant association with the psychological domain quality of life of the elderly persons at p<0.01 level. The demographic variables age in years ($X^2=6.482$, p=0.039) and some children ($X^2=10.685$, p=0.014) had shown statistically significant association with psychological domain quality of life of the elderly persons at p<0.05 level. The other demographic variables had not shown statistically significant association with psychological domain quality of life of the elderly persons at p<0.05 level.

The table also infers that none of the demographic variables had shown statistically significant association with the social and environmental domain of Quality of life of elderly persons at p<0.05 level and p<0.01 respectively.

The fifth objective of the study was to find out the association between the level of self-esteem with selected demographic variables. Association of self-esteem with selected demographic variables among elderly persons shows that the demographics variable educational status ($X^2=23.725$, p=0.008), source of income ($X^2=17.835$, p=0.007) had shown statistically significant association with the self-esteem of the elderly persons at p<0.05 level and p<0.01 respectively.

The other demographic variables had shown statistically no significant association with the level of self-esteem of the elderly persons at p<0.05 level and p<0.01 respectively.

V. CONCLUSION:

The study concludes that overall elderly persons had low Quality of life and also had normal

self-esteem. It infers that when the self-esteem of the elderly increases their Quality of Life also improves. Health educating the family members particularly the young on their role in keeping the elders happy and active and supporting them physically, socially, and environmentally is equally important. The level of social support should be enough for the elderly persons to maintain their self-esteem so that they can live happily without burden on family and society. Once a level of self-esteem increases the Quality of life also improves among the elderly person.

VI. RECOMMENDATIONS

- A similar study can be conducted in other parts of the country.
- Replication of the study may be done with a large sample in a different setting to validate and generalize the findings.
- Based on the present study finding, a psychosocial intervention program can be developed to enhance the Quality of life of elderly persons.
- Present study findings would help in implementing the rehabilitation program for elderly people.

HUMAN

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank DR. Jayestri Kurushev, Deputy Directorate General of Public Health (DDPH), Govt of Puducherry, Medical Officers of the Primary health centers (Reddiyarpalayam, Villianur, Lawspet, Gorimedu, Mettupalayam and Karikkalampakkam), Dean and Principal College of Nursing, MTPG&RIHS, Puducherry for providing permission and for lending their support for the study and all the subjects who participated in the study.

Financial support and sponsorships

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Darlene Mara dos Santos Tavares, Thaís Gabriela Cruz Matias, Pollyana Cristina dos Santos Ferreira, Maycon Sousa Pegorari, Janaina Santos Nascimento, Mariana MapellidePaiva- Quality of life and self- esteem among the elderly in the community: Nov. 2016; 02 (11):324- 329. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1413-812320152111.

2. Devraj S, D'mello MK. Determinants of quality of life among the elderly population in urban areas of Mangalore, Karnataka. J GeriatrMent Health2019;6:94-8

3. Marianne Baernholdt, Ivora Hinton, Guofen Yan, Karen Rose, Meghan Mattos. Quality of Life Res. Author manuscript; 2012 Apr; 21(3): 527–534. doi: 10.1007/s11136-011-9954-z PMCID:PMC3593634

4. Problems of senior citizens in India - Rajendra Prasad. International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Research ISSN: 2455-2070; Impact Factor: RJIF 5.22 www.socialresearchjournals.com 2017 Jan 3 (1):35-37

5. Silva LWS, Santos RG, Squarcini CFR, Souza AL, Azevedo MP, Barbosa FNM. Profile of the elderly person's lifestyle and self-esteem. Perspectives of a physical training program. RevistaTemáticaKairósGerontologia [Internet] 2011[accessed2014Dec20];14(3):145-166.

6. NavabiNejad Sh. Gender and self-esteem. Journal of Research and Women's Studies.2008;2 (3):4-13.

7. Dini GM, Quaresma MR, Ferreira LM. Cultural adaptation and validation of the Brazilian version of Rosenberg's Self-Esteem scale. Rev. Soc. Bras. Cir. Plást [Internet] 2004 [accessed 2015 May 15]; 19 (1): 41-52. Available at: http://www.rbcp.org.br/imagebank/pdf/ 19- 01- 04 pt.

8. Maia MFM, Ruas ARM, Rocha FP, Oliveira NFR, Souza JS. Self-esteem in a sample of elderly people with guided walking practice. Motricity [Internet] 2012 [accessed 2014 Dec 14]; 8 (S2): 1085-1088. Available in: http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=273023568138

9. Karmakar N, Datta A, Nag K, Tripura K. Quality of life among geriatric population: A cross-sectional study in a rural area of Sepahijala District, Tripura. Indian J Public Health 2018;62:95-9

10. SuthamNanthamongkolchai, ArunsiriMakapat, PhitayaCharupoonphol, ChokchaiMunsawaengsub : Self-Esteem of the Elderly in Rural Areas of Nakhon Sawan Province- J MedAssoc Thai 2007; 90 (1): 155-159

