

Human Journals **Review Article** December 2020 Vol.:17, Issue:2 © All rights are reserved by Indranil Chatterjee et al.

Piezoelectric Neuro-Stimulation Devices Powered by Ultrasound: A Bio-Electronic Medicine



ndranii Chatterjee¹, Suman Kumar Nath², Anagh Mukherjee¹, Snehansu Biswas¹, Sabina Khatun¹, Sourav Mandal¹, Soumitra Sahana², Anirban Adhikari², Debmalya Ghosh², Kakoli Halder²

¹Assistant Professor, Birbhum Pharmacy School, Birbhum, West Bengal, India. ²B. Pharm, Birbhum Pharmacy School, Birbhum, West Bengal, India.

Submitted:	07 November 2020
Revised:	27 November 2020
Accepted:	17 December 2020





www.ijsrm.humanjournals.com

Keywords: Piezoelectric neural stimulator, Spinal cord injury, Ultrasound, Wireless power, Implantable electronics, Neuromodulation

ABSTRACT

Customary neurostimulation frameworks for preclinical exploration can be massive and intrusive because of the requirement for batteries or wired interfaces. Developing as another neural interface strategy, ultrasound-controlled piezoelectric neural triggers work by changing over ultrasound vitality to electrical charge for neural incitement. Notwithstanding the advantages of remote driving and scaling down prompting less awful medical procedure, piezoelectric neural triggers can likewise display delayed operational lifetimes for a drawn-out stable neural interface, and show guarantee for clinical interpretation. As one of the initial steps to show the estimation of the ultrasound-controlled piezoelectric neural interface, Li et al. built up a piezoelectric trigger to initiate spinal rope neural circuits for velocity reclamation in a rodent model with spinal string injury (SCI) and contrasted its viability and customary electrical incitement (ES). From the perspective of materials science, neural building, and microelectronics, we give our critique on the article, featuring its significance and talking about the issues that stay to be tended to in future investigations in the developing field of ultrasound controlled piezoelectric neurostimulation gadgets.

INTRODUCTION:

Most dynamic electronic clinical embeds today use locally available batteries as their capacity source. The need for intermittent battery substitution not just obliges the lifetime of the clinical inserts, yet additionally requires further medical procedures that bring about extra injury for patients. For instance, a non-battery-powered battery utilized for a profound mind trigger was accounted for to have a lifetime of 4 to 5 years, as per an examination with 192 patients (Helmers et al. 2018). Thusly, numerous remote force move procedures have risen as elective methodologies for giving vitality to gadget activity, including inductive coupling, ultrasound, radio recurrence, and warmth (Taalla et al. 2019).

The utilization of ultrasound to control clinical gadgets has advantages of volume decrease of inserts (down to mm measurements) (Charthad et al. 2015), activity across longer profundities of tissue (~ 10 cm) (Charthad et al. 2018), and moderate force move effectiveness (~ 40%, contingent upon working separation) (Ozeri et al. 2010). Remote controlling advancements for clinical applications are full-grown and used in many advertised gadgets, however, the issue of giving the dependable capacity to preclinical gadgets is of specific significance since batteries don't scale as promptly as hardware, and studies using little creature models have critical limitations on gadget size and mass. Notwithstanding the work by Li et al. (Li et al. 2020), here we likewise inspect another as of late created neural incitement framework fueled by ultrasound, a littler millimeter-scale gadget used to interface the sciatic nerve with bidirectional correspondence capacity (Piech et al. 2020).

These models permit us to look at a portion of the plan tradeoffs of various executions of this rising innovation. Awful spinal string injury (tSCI), generally brought about by mishaps, cuts off the sign stream among cerebrum and body frameworks, coming about in so far irreversible loss of capacities, for example, loss of motion. Universally, it is assessed that more than 27 million patients are living with long-haul inability due to SCI, while in North America alone there are 12, 500 new instances of SCI every year (Hachem et al. 2017; Bradbury and Burnside 2019).

Etiologically, tSCI is the most widely recognized structure and records for over 90% of SCI cases (James and Theadom 2019). Regardless of the ongoing advancement in neuroscience and biomedical building, there has been no viable treatment to recover grown-up focal sensory

system axons and fix the spinal line pathways after extreme SCI (de Cassia Sampaio et al., 2016). Epidural spinal string incitement shows a guarantee to advance and reestablish willful development, after ceaseless neurologically complete SCI (Darrow et al. 2019). In the article distributed in Bioelectronic Medicine, Li et al. proposed an ultrasound-driven barium titanate (BaTiO3) piezoelectric trigger for reclamation of automatic motion in rodents with SCI by methods for epidural spinal line incitement. Figure 1 shows the working standard of the ultrasound-driven piezoelectric trigger interfacing the spinal line for the rebuilding of automatic velocity.

Like traditional electrical incitement, the piezoelectric flow produced from the ultrasonic force transmission enacts spinal string neural circuits and empowers deadened rodents to move their rear legs. Regardless of the way that numerous specialized difficulties, (for example, long haul dependability in use, a requirement for exact arrangement between the handset and the embed, coordinated circuit structure for higher force transformation proficiency, and so on.) are yet to be tended to for the improvement of hearty ultrasonic fueled incitement miniaturized scale frameworks, we hopefully consider the method as a promising new road for neuromodulation in the field of bioelectronic medication The idea of the piezoelectric triggers has been broadly examined (Piech et al. 2020; Phillips et al. 2003; Marino et al. 2017; Alam et al. 2019), however, their adequacy has not been set up in correlation with customary ES regarding the rebuilding of automatic motion. The work by Li et al. gives further experiences to fill in the hole and show that piezoelectric incitement (pES) without a battery can accomplish practically identical adequacy to ES. In this discourse, we talk about the article subtleties with an accentuation on the specialized parts of executing such frameworks.

Position and Alignment

To adequately convey acoustic vitality for the piezoelectric trigger, exact arrangement, and the situation between the ultrasound transducer and piezoelectric trigger are basic during nerve incitement. Piezoelectric gadgets convert mechanical dislodging into an electrical charge, and because they are involved in a routinely rehashing translucent structure they are touchy to the overall edge of applied mechanical vitality. Ultrasound vitality is likewise constricted with separation with a factor of weakening which relies upon the materials that it goes through. All in all, this requires a more noteworthy measure of vitality to be produced at the source contrasted

with what is gotten at the embedded gadget. Notwithstanding, there are procedures, for example, centering which can be utilized to gather the vitality at the embed area while applying lower ultrasound vitality thickness over a bigger skin contact territory.

The dimension of a Piezoelectric Stimulator

One of the likely advantages of using ultrasound to control a piezoelectric embed is that it hinders the need for a battery inside the implantable gadget. Given that batteries involve a huge level of the volume of implantable gadgets; this empowers the plan of gadgets that are essentially littler in size than those requiring batteries. In the article by Li et al., the width of the piezoelectric trigger utilized was 10 mm with tallness of 4 mm (Alam et al. 2019) yielding a volume of 314 mm³. Contrasted with some business button cells (5.8 mm in breadth and 1.6 mm), the size of the piezoelectric trigger is still moderately enormous and could profit by further contracting so that there will be more alternatives to embed the gadget into anatomical pockets of little creatures. Nonetheless, this ought not to be viewed as an issue for the relevance of piezoelectric triggers since mm scale inserts have just been illustrated (an ongoing model had a volume of 1.7 mm³ (Piech et al. 2020).

Ultrasound Biosafety



All radiation presentation presents natural wellbeing and wellbeing hazard contemplations because of the potential for tissue harm. Nonetheless, ultrasonic force move is generally utilized in symptomatic imaging, and its dangers are overseen by restricting the sent acoustic force thickness to a sheltered sum. The most extreme FDA limit on ultrasound presentation is 720 mW/cm² spatial-top fleeting normal force (ISPTA) and 190 W/cm² spatial-top heartbeat normal powers (ISPPA) (Marketing Clearance of Diagnostic Ultrasound Systems and Transducers 2019). The transducer utilized by Li et al. yields a limit of 22.5 mW/cm² (ISPTA) at 40 Hz and 3.9 W/cm2 (ISPPA), essentially beneath FDA limits.

Albeit safe introduction limits for rodents are not commonly settled, a histological examination from past work proposes no negative impacts at this degree of presentation (Kim et al. 2014). The size of the piezoelectric segment assumes a function in the measure of intensity that can be viably moved. The force move productivity of the piezoelectric trigger in this work was roughly 0.22%, from the information capacity to the driving transducer to got the electrical force at the

trigger. The fundamentally littler plan utilized in (Piech et al. 2020) had comparable efficiencies of 0.33% in ex vivo tissue and .7% in a gel apparition. Notwithstanding, the littler plan required generously higher measures of ultrasound capacity to be created.

This work demonstrated that forces as low as 0.1 mW/cm^2 could initiate engine evoked possibilities and that a power of 22.5 mW/cm² could be utilized to incite motion in rear appendages. The littler plan in (Piech et al. 2020) required a base force of 56 mW/cm² at ideal direction, arrangement, separation; and required 451 mW/cm² a ways off of 55 mm inside ex vivo tissue. It ought to be noticed that as far as possible for analytic ultrasound introduction of 720 mW/cm² as expressed above is just for fringe vessels, and the cutoff points run down to 17 mW/cm² for ophthalmic tissue. This demonstrates that even though it is conceivable to essentially therapist such gadgets; there exists a tradeoff between gadget size and the measure of intensity that can securely be moved to the gadget utilizing ultrasound. Interpretation of clinical applications would require extra contemplations, as cutoff points vary for different organs in the body and by working recurrence.

Also, limited power will rely upon the acoustic impedance of encompassing tissue and acoustic confound of tissue interfaces, which may contrast in clinical applications. Exemplification systems in their work, Li et al., embodied the piezoelectric trigger utilizing a biocompatible silicone covering. The lifetime and long haul unwavering quality of the electrically bundled trigger stays obscure in the in vivo condition and requires further longitudinal examinations. To lessen the stringy tissue epitome encompassing the piezoelectric trigger and the subsequent increment in acoustic impedance, bundling procedures using clinically demonstrated durable biocompatible materials should be created and approved. Different methodologies have utilized a conformal covering of Parylene to typify the gadget (Piech et al. 2020), which as indicated by the creators was relied upon to keep going for lengths of months to years. Even though Parylene is known to be biocompatible and engaging because it tends to be stored in exceptionally slight layers, its life span in natural conditions stays poor since the time it was first assessed during the 1970s (Barrese et al. 2013; Schmidt et al. 1988; Loeb et al. 1977). The debasement of Parylene embodied structures is basically because of helpless compounds clinging to fundamental substrates (Stieglitz et al. 2002) and dampness retention which leads to delamination and splitting after some time.

These issues are additionally exacerbated when the Parylene is specifically eliminated to uncover dynamic locales, for example, terminals that must contact the tissue, as this outcome in an uncovered interfacial surface underneath the Parylene which presents an open door for dampness entrance. Silicone exemplification is engaging because it is agreeable and can be utilized to limit the unfamiliar body reaction to the embed, anyway it experiences comparative issues as Parylene including dampness ingestion and helpless attachment to fundamental materials, for example, protected wires prompting terminals. Another way to deal with polymer-based bundling has been to consolidate layers of various polymers, for example, Parylene and epoxy (Wright et al. 2019) to attempt to profit from the various properties of the various materials, yet the option of extra epitome layers and the subsequently included thickness can bring about higher acoustical impedance and lower power move proficiency for ultrasound controlled gadgets.

Examination with traditional electrical incitement the adequacy of pES was once in a while revealed in deliberate correlation with ES. In this examination, the viability of the piezoelectric trigger was approved by contrasting engine evoked possibilities in the hind limb muscles set off by both epidural electrical incitement and piezoelectric incitement. As proven by the comparative enrollment of tibialis front muscles for ES and pES, the adequacy of pES is practically identical to traditional ES. In like manner, both ES and pES delivered rodents with SCI to recapture the hind limb headway on a moving treadmill belt, demonstrating that the presentation of ES and pES is probably going to be reliable. Be that as it may, this exploratory examination used seven rodents; information produced from one rodent was shown in two, however, no proper theory test was utilized with the measurable investigation. Extra information and a more thorough factual investigation are expected to direct a viability correlation among ES and pES.

While this article centers around the impacts of pES, ultrasound introduction can likewise invigorate neuronal circuits, and its belongings have been built up in contemplates traversing various species at different frequencies and power levels (Tufail et al. 2011). In (Cotero et al. 2019) it was demonstrated that ultrasound pressures well inside the cutoff points for symptomatic imaging were effectively ready to invigorate foundations inside organs bringing about changes underway of incendiary markers in a way like animating the vagus nerve (Pavlov and Tracey 2012).

Further examinations are needed to comprehend the chance of moving ultrasound vitality to inserts in a way that doesn't upset the ordinary activity of the natural frameworks in the body. Significantly, pES ought to be contrasted with trick incitement (in which a similar transducer arrangement is utilized related to a "sham" embed) to seclude the impacts of pES from the impacts of ultrasound introduction. Remote incitement neural incitement frameworks are either remote or wired. Both sorts of frameworks require an embedded cathode to interface to the sensory system. Wired frameworks require physical wired associations from the embedded gadget to an outer benchtop framework giving either incitement heartbeats or force for hardware inside the gadget.

Remote frameworks consolidate incitement age inside the embedded gadget and can be controlled utilizing either inward vitality stockpiling, for example, a battery or capacitor or by remotely accepting force from an outside force source. Wired frameworks require treatment of the creature to join the wires, and once appended, they limit the development of the creature, prompting injury and limitation of the creature's typical conduct. Furthermore, the percutaneous connectors utilized for wired frameworks present an enduring open door for contamination and disturbance to the creature. Remote frameworks don't have these issues since they can be controlled and fueled remotely.

HUMAN

Ultrasound-based driving of implantable gadgets is commonly viewed as a feature of a remote framework, however may not give the entirety of the advantages of remote embeds outside of the absence of a percutaneous association framework. Ultrasound power is altogether constricted in air, and legitimate force move for the kinds of frameworks depicted here requires personal contact between the ultrasound transducer and the skin. This furthermore requires controlling and perhaps anesthetizing the creature, which can be a wellspring of injury and change the physiological condition of the creature. This gives off an impression of being an essential restriction of ultrasound fueled frameworks; as far as anyone is concerned there are no ultrasound controlled embeds that don't need close contact between the force source and the creature.



Figure No. 1: Working principle of the ultrasound-driven piezoelectric stimulator.

CONCLUSION:

This examination shows promising outcomes to re-establish automatic movement for rodents with SCI, utilizing a novel piezoelectric trigger. The creators analyzed the engine evoked possibilities in the rear appendage muscles reaction to epidural electrical incitement and piezoelectric incitement and reestablished the rear appendage headway for rodents with SCI by these two methodologies. In the long run, this investigation exhibited that there was no striking distinction between epidural electrical incitement and piezoelectric incitement as far as engine evoked possibilities and automatic motion reclamation, establishing a strong framework to demonstrate the incentive for pES.

ABBREVIATIONS:

SCI: spinal rope injury; ES: electrical incitement; tSCI: horrible spinal line injury; pES: piezoelectric incitement; FDA: US Food and Drug Administration.

REFERENCES:

1. Alam M, Li S, Ahmed RU, Yam YM, Thakur S, Wang X, Tang D, Ng S, Zheng Y. Development of a battery-free ultrasonically powered functional electrical stimulator for movement restoration after paralyzing spinal cord injury. J Neuroeng Rehabil. 2019;16(1):36. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12984-019-0501-4.

2. Barrese JC, Rao N, Paroo K, Triebwasser C, Vargas-Irwin C, Franquemont L, Donoghue JP. Failure mode analysis of silicon-based intracortical microelectrode arrays in non-human primates. J Neural Eng. 2013;10(6): 066014. https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-2560/10/6/066014.

3. Bradbury EJ, Burnside ER. Moving beyond the glial scar for spinal cord repair. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):3879. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11707-7.

4. Charthad J, Chang TC, Liu Z, Sawaby A, Weber MJ, Baker S, Gore F, Felt SA, Arbabian A. A mm-sized wireless implantable device for electrical stimulation of peripheral nerves. IEEE Trans Biomed Circuits Syst. Apr 2018;12(2):257–70.

5. Charthad J, Weber MJ, Chang TC, Arbabian A. A mm-sized implantable medical device (IMD) with ultrasonic power transfer and a hybrid bi-directional data link. IEEE J Solid State Circuits. 2015;50(8):1741–53. https://doi.org/10.1109/jssc.2015.2427336.

6. Cotero V, Fan Y, Tsaava T, Kressel AM, Hancu I, Fitzgerald P, Wallace K, Kaanumalle S, Graf J, Rigby W, Kao T, Roberts J, Bhushan C, Joel S, Coleman TR, Zanos S, Tracey KJ, Ashe J, Chavan SS, Puleo C. Noninvasive suborgan ultrasound stimulation for targeted neuromodulation. Nat Commun. 2019; 10(1):952. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08750-9.

7. Darrow D, Balser D, Netoff TI, Krassioukov A, Phillips A, Parr A, Samadani U. Epidural Spinal Cord Stimulation Facilitates Immediate Restoration of Dormant Motor and Autonomic Supraspinal Pathways after Chronic Neurologically Complete Spinal Cord Injury. J Neurotrauma. 2019;36(15): 2325–36. https://doi.org/10.1089/neu.2018.6006.

8. de Cassia Sampaio O, Defino HL, Guimaraes EADBB. Effect of hypovolemia on traumatic spinal cord injury. Spinal Cord. Sep 2016;54(9):742–5. https://doi.org/10.1038/sc.2016.26.

9. Hachem LD, Ahuja CS, Fehlings MG. Assessment and management of acute spinal cord injury: from point of injury to rehabilitation. J Spinal Cord Med. 2017;40(6):665–75. https://doi.org/10.1080/10790268.2017.1329076.

10. Helmers AK, Lubbing I, Deuschl G, Witt K, Synowitz M, Mehdorn HM, Falk D. Comparison of the battery life of nonrechargeable generators for deep brain stimulation. Neuromodulation. Aug 2018;21(6):593–6. https://doi.org/10.1111/ner.12720.

11. James SL, Theadom A. Global, regional, and national burden of traumatic brain injury and spinal cord injury, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2016. Lancet Neurol. 2019;18(1):56–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(18)30415-0.

12. Kim H, Chiu A, Lee SD, Fischer K, Yoo SS. Focused ultrasound-mediated noninvasive brain stimulation: examination of sonication parameters. Brain Stimul. 2014;7(5):748–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2014.06.011.

13. S. Li, M. Alam, R. U. Ahmed, and H. Zhong, "Ultrasound-driven piezoelectric current activates spinal cord neurocircuits and restores locomotion in rats with spinal cord injury," Bioelectron Med, vol. 6, 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.1186/s42234-020-00048-2.

14. Loeb GE, Bak MJ, Salcman M, Schmidt EM. Parylene as a chronically stable, reproducible microelectrode insulator. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng. 1977;24(2): 121–8. https://doi.org/10.1109/TBME.1977.326115.

15. Marino A, Genchi GG, Mattoli V, Ciofani G. Piezoelectric nanotransducers: the future of neural stimulation. Nano Today. 2017;14:9–12. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.nantod.2016.12.005.

16. Marketing Clearance of Diagnostic Ultrasound Systems and Transducers. 2019. [Online] Available: https://www.fda.gov/media/71100/download.

17. Ozeri S, Shmilovitz D, Singer S, Wang CC. Ultrasonic transcutaneous energy transfer using a continuous wave650kHzGaussianshadedtransmitter.Ultrasonics.Jun2010;50(7):666–74.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultras.2010.01.004.

18. Pavlov VA, Tracey KJ. The vagus nerve and the inflammatory reflex--linking immunity and metabolism. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2012;8(12):743–54. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2012.189.

19. W. B. Phillips, B. C. Towe, and P. J. Larson, "An ultrasonically-driven piezoelectric neural stimulator," presented at the Proceedings of the 25th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (IEEE Cat. No.03CH37439), 2003.

20. Piech DK, Johnson BC, Shen K, Ghanbari MM, Li KY, Neely RM, Kay JE, Carmena JM, Maharbiz MM, Muller R. A wireless millimetre-scale implantable neural stimulator with ultrasonically powered bidirectional communication. Nat Biomed Eng. 2020;4(2):207–22. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-020-0518-9.

21. Schmidt EM, McIntosh JS, Bak MJ. Long-term implants of Parylene-C coated microelectrodes. Med Biol Eng Comput. Jan 1988;26(1):96–101. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02441836.

22. T. Stieglitz, S. Kammer, K. Koch, S. Wien, and A. Robitzki, "Encapsulation of flexible biomedical microimplants with parylene C," in IFESS, 2002, vol. 5, p. 2002.

23. Taalla RV, Arefin MS, Kaynak A, Kouzani AZ. A review on miniaturized ultrasonic wireless power transfer to implantable medical devices. IEEE Access. 2019;7: 2092–106. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2018.2886780.

24. Tufail Y, Yoshihiro A, Pati S, Li MM, Tyler WJ. Ultrasonic neuromodulation by brain stimulation with transcranial ultrasound. Nat Protoc. 2011;6(9):1453–70. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2011.371.

25. J. Wright, J. Wong, Y.-C. Chang, U. Ahmed, S. Zanos, and T. Datta-Chaudhuri, "A low-power implantable neurostimulator for small rodents with functional validation," in 2019 IEEE Biomedical Circuits and Systems Conference (BioCAS), 2019: IEEE, pp. 1–4.

