

#### Human Journals **Research Article** January 2020 Vol.:14, Issue:3 © All rights are reserved by Khaled Zahran et al.

# Conventional Pre-Surgical Naso-Alveolar Molding (PNAM) Device versus CAD/CAM PNAM Conjugated with Surgical Anatomical Nasal Stent for the Treatment of Unilateral Cleft Lip and Palate



Khaled Zahran<sup>\*a</sup>, Salah Hegazy<sup>b</sup>, Abdel Fatah Sadaka<sup>c</sup>, Ashraf Gebreel<sup>d</sup>, Ahmed Zeina<sup>e</sup>

a: Assistant lecturer, Department of removable prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt.

b : Professor, Department of removable prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Mansoura University , Mansoura , Egypt .

c: Professor, Department of maxillofacial surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt.

d: Associate Professor, Department of removable prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt.

e: Associate Professor of Plastic Surgery Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt.

Submission:	25 December 2019
Accepted:	31 December 2019
Published:	30 January 2020





www.ijsrm.humanjournals.com

**Keywords:** unilateral cleft lip, nasolaveolar molding, nasal stent, CAD/CAM PNAM

# ABSTRACT

Objectives: comparing the effect of conventional PNAM and CAD/CAM-PNAM devices for the presurgical preparation of unilateral cleft lip and palate and also using a new surgical nostril retainer based on anatomy which facilitate repair of nose during unilateral cleft lip. Design: randomized controlled clinical trials with double blind evaluation as a part a research work conducted on 20 children. of Setting: prosthodontics department, faculty of dentistry Mansoura University and its related hospital's Patients: all patients were selected with the same criteria of non-syndrome associated unilateral cleft lip reaching nostril. Interventions: patients were randomly divided in two equal groups each patient receives NAM device according to each group criteria. Results: cleft gap was measured pre/post NAM and there were a significant difference between before and after for each group and there was no significant difference between both groups. Better symmetry was achieved in cases using the surgical custom nostril retainer. Conclusions: PNAM contributed effectively for reduction of cleft gap and subsequently, in lip repair surgery, the use of anatomical nasal retainer is helpful, best fits, cheaper, saves time and facilitates surgical reconstruction with better results.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Cleft lip and palate is reported to occur in approximately 1 in 700 live births, with the prevalence in Egypt to be 0.3/1000.(1) About half of the oral clefts involve lip and palate (46%), a third of the clefts involve only the palate (33%), and clefts of lip alone account for 21%. CL/ P are more often unilateral than bilateral and more common in males than females. The unilateral defects occur more often on the left side than the right side.(2)

The principal objective of presurgical nasoalveolar molding (NAM) is to reduce the severity of the initial cleft deformity. This enables the surgeon and the patient to enjoy the benefits associated with repair of cleft deformity that is of minimal severity.(3) The aim of NAM is to reduce the severity of the cleft (e.g., nasal deformity, cleft size), thereby improving future surgical results, reducing the need for lip and nose revisions, and minimizing scarring. NAM has been shown to significantly improve nasal symmetry in both the short (3 months to 1 year) and long term (3 to 12 years).(4)

The integration of CAD/CAM technology for serial NAM plate production in the treatment of cleft patients represents a special field with high potential but is, as yet, in its fledgling stages. The production of purely virtually designed NAM plates is currently possible, but nevertheless, the final plate adaptation has to be performed within the mouth of the infant.. In particular, the integration of the nasal stent remains difficult and needs more research in the programming steps.

Ritschl *et al* (2016) found that NAM plates can be produced virtually by using CAD/CAM technology. The CAD/CAM NAM results show no significant differences from the conventional technique.(5)

Yu *et al* found that CAD-NAM effectively reduced the cleft gap, corrected the maxilla midline, and improved the sagittal length of the maxilla. The alveolar height decreased significantly after the treatment, which indicated that the traction force of the appliance may have obstructive effects on the vertical growth of the alveolar bone.(6)

Postoperative maintenance of the corrected nostrils is a must for achieving a symmetric and well-proportioned nose in patients with cleft nasal deformity.(7) The use of a nasal retainer that sustains the corrected nasal cavity for several months after surgical repair of cleft lipnose deformity have great effect and was shown by Yeow et al.(8)

Due to controversy between published researches regarding the efficacy of CAD NAM versus conventional NAM, so the aim of this study was to compare the effect of conventional NAM and the CAD/NAM in conjugation with surgical nasal retainer.

### PATIENTS AND METHODS

Twenty infants with non-syndrome associated unilateral cleft lip and palate were referred from the Outpatient Clinic of Mansoura Children Hospital and Plastic Surgery Department at Mansoura University to Prosthodontics department at Faculty of Dentistry Mansoura University. All parents were thoroughly informed about the full details of the PNAM procedures. They approved written consents for inclusion in the study. All steps were done after approved from the Ethical Committee of Faculty of Dentistry, Mansoura University. Study was designed to be randomized controlled clinical trials with double blind evaluation.

All infants were randomly grouped into two different groups; each group consisted of 10 infants (I and II) using block randomization sealed envelope method. Preoperative images were taken according to the following method: A series of standard basilar view photographs in 1:1 ratio were taken for each patient at resting posture by tilting the infant's head back to bring the alar domes to a level below the eyebrows but above the canthi. (9)

Impressions were taken by the prosthodontist using a special tray with heavy body rubber base material according to Grayson 2005 (10). For group I The molding plate is fabricated according to (11) on the dental stone model. For group II the cast was digitally scanned and using 3shape® dental software, special tray in appliances module in dental designer CAD NAM was designed according to Ritschel *et al* (5). Plates were printed using frozen 3D printer using biocompatible denture resin from NextDent. Figure 3

NAM devices were inserted and parents were informed about using the devices. Follow up were made weekly (group I) and biweekly (group II).

After 3 months (group I) children were sent for lip repair surgery. A customized nasal surgical retainer was constructed for group II children. Cotton buds were placed into nasal openings. Light body Silicon impression was injected into both nostril openings and then heavy body was adapted over it. The impression was 3D scanned and nostril outline was copied and mirrored using Meshmixer software. Finally, the nostril retainer was construed from PEEK material in order to be sterilized before surgery.

#### www.ijsrm.humanjournals.com

The surgical closure was done using Millard repair (12) For group II the nostril retained was fixated to the nose using suture. (See Figure 1) After surgery, indirect anthropometric measurements (nostril height, nasal basal height, columellar height, nostril width, and nasal basal width) were made on the digital photographs with the help of IMAGE ANALYSIS software. (See Figure 2) The casts were 3d scanned at the time of initiation of PNAM and then on completion of PNAM before cheiloplasty. The present study confirmed the landmarks and reference lines (see Figure 4) using the methods described by Mazaheri *et al* (13).

The data were analyzed using SPSS<sup>®</sup> software version 22 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro Wilk tests were used to diagnose normality of data distribution of all variables. The data were parametric and presented as mean±SD for comparisons. Between-group comparisons of nasal and cast measurements was performed using independent t-test. To detect significant differences intact and cleft side nasal measurements and between before and after cast measurements, paired samples t-test was used. P-values <0.05 were considered to be significant.



Figure 1 showing the nasal stent in place with surgical correction



Figure 2 showing final result and landmarks for measurements



Figure 3 CAD NAM plates with different sizes





# Figure 4 showing before and after result of cast measurements

# RESULTS

Nasal measures (Table 1)



		a_(CS)	a_(IS)	b_(CS)	b_(IS)	c_(CS)	c_(IS)	d_(CS)	d_(IS)	e_(CS)	e_(IS)
Gp I conv	X	.797	.993	1.799	1.817	.317	.405	.887	1.256	1.473	1.811
NAM	SD	.196	.245	.218	.282	.124	.128	.237	.213	.283	.272
Gp II CAD NAM	X	.932	1.158	1.914	1.898	.486	.480	1.018	1.105	1.580	1.646
	SD	.233	.370	.238	.413	.184	.189	.187	.231	.204	.221
Indep t-test(p value)		.17	.25	.27	.61	.027*	.30	.18	.14	.34	.15

Table 1 Difference in nasal measurements between intact and cleft side

### Cast measures (Table 2)

For difference between before and after measurements A'\_X, A\_A' and M'\_X group II showed more significance than group I. For other cast measurements no significant differences between groups were noted.

Table 2	difference	in	cast	measurements	between	before	and	after	PNAM	for	both
groups											

		A' X befo re	A'_ X after	Diffe renc e of X	A_X befo re	A_X after	A_A , befo re	A_A ,	Diffe renc e of X	M_ M' befo re	M_ M after	M'_ X befo re	M'_ X after	Diffe renc e of X	M_X befo re	M_X after	Diffe renc e of X
Group I	X	6.61 4	2.69 2	3.92 2	8.18 1	3.65 3	7.12 0	2.81 8	4.30 2	39.7 13	41.7 54	17.6 57	16.4 05	1.25 2	21.8 07	25.1 51	- 3.34 4
	S D	3.71 1	3.04 6		4.35 4	3.07 9	3.59 5	1.37 7		2.39 1	3.26 9	4.35 3	3.84 3		3.29 3	2.45 7	
Group II	X	11.0 04	3.71 0	7.29 4	7.83 7	4.06 2	11.3 78	4.96 5	6.41 3	41.0 18	42.6 67	22.0 48	19.8 05	2.24 3	18.8 68	22.7 19	- 3.85 1
	S D	4.65 2	2.41 4		4.73 8	2.05 2	4.97 0	2.57 9		4.59 9	4.07 4	3.19 6	4.34 9		2.08 7	4.60 2	
p val		<u>.031</u> *	<u>.41</u>		.86	.73	<u>.042</u> *	<u>.032</u> *		.43	.58	<u>.019</u> *	<u>.049</u> *		<u>.028</u> *	<u>.15</u>	

#### DISCUSSION

# HUMAN

The efficacy of both techniques, i.e. Grayson's PNAM and CAD-CAM PNAM comparing the maxillary cast analysis preoperatively and postoperatively showed a significant decrease in the distance between major and minor segments and increase in the arch width postoperatively in both Group I and II,

Yu *et al* found that CAD-NAM effectively reduced the cleft gap, corrected the maxilla midline, and improved the sagittal length of the maxilla. The alveolar height decreased significantly after the treatment, which indicated that the traction force of the appliance may have obstructive effects on the vertical growth of the alveolar bone. (6)

Ritschl *et al* found that NAM plates can be produced virtually by using CAD/CAM technology. The CAD/CAM NAM results show no significant differences from the conventional technique. (5)

### CONCLUSION

Both NAM techniques similarly improved nasal deformities and reduced alveolar gaps, but the CAD NAM was more efficient and reduces visits and treatment time.

CAD NAM significantly decrease the cleft gab height than the conventional NAM.

Using nostril retainer makes surgical repair easier for surgeon and assures support of nostril.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank Aqsa Dental technology lab for their CAD/CAM supports and Mr. Mahmoud Rashed for his effort in lab work.

#### REFERENCES

1. Shawky RM, Sadik DI. Congenital malformations prevalent among Egyptian children and associated risk factors. Egypt J Med Hum Genet. 2011;12(1).

2. Forrester MB, Merz RD. Descriptive epidemiology of oral clefts in a multiethnic population, Hawaii, 1986–2000. Cleft palate-craniofacial J. 2004;41(6):622–8.

3. Lee CTH, Grayson BH, Cutting CB, Brecht LE, Lin WY. Prepubertal midface growth in unilateral cleft lip and palate following alveolar molding and gingivoperiosteoplasty. Cleft palate-craniofacial J. 2004;41(4):375–80.

4. Nagraj N, Nagarjuna M, Desai AK, Gandedkar N, Jayade B, Gopalakrishnan K. Double-loop technique using titanium molybdenum alloy wire for fabrication of nasal stents in nasoalveolar molding therapy for cleft lip and palate patients. Cleft Palate-Craniofacial J. 2015;52(2):246–9.

5. Ritschl LM, Rau A, Güll FD, Dibora B, Wolff KD, Schönberger M, et al. Pitfalls and solutions in virtual design of nasoalveolar molding plates by using CAD/CAM technology - A preliminary clinical study. J Cranio-Maxillofacial Surg [Internet]. 2016;44(4):453–9. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcms.2016.01.008

6. Yu Q, Gong X, Shen G. CAD presurgical nasoalveolar molding effects on the maxillary morphology in infants with UCLP. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol [Internet]. 2013;116(4):418–26. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.oooo.2013.06.032

7. Egan KK, Kim DW. A novel intranasal stent for functional rhinoplasty and nostril stenosis. Laryngoscope. 2005;115(5):903–9.

8. Yeow VK, Chen PK, Chen Y-R, Noordhoff SM. The use of nasal splints in the primary management of unilateral cleft nasal deformity. Plast Reconstr Surg. 1999;103(5):1347–54.

9. Coghlan BA, Laitung JKG, Pigott RW. A computer-aided method of measuring nasal symmetry in the cleft lip nose. Br J Plast Surg. 1993;46(1):13–7.

10. Grayson BH, Garfinkle JS. Early cleft management: The case for nasoalveolar molding. Am J Orthod Dentofac Orthop. 2014;145(2):134–42.

11. Grayson BH, Maull D. Nasoalveolar molding for infants born with clefts of the lip, alveolus and palate. In: Cleft Lip and Palate. Springer; 2006. p. 451–8.

12. Millard JDR, Latham RA. Improved primary surgical and dental treatment of clefts. Plast Reconstr Surg. 1990;86(5):856–71.

13. Mazaheri M, Harding RL, Cooper JA, Meier JA, Jones TS. Changes in arch form and dimensions of cleft patients. Am J Orthod. 1971;60(1):19–32.

# www.ijsrm.humanjournals.com

Khaled Zahran Assistant lecturer, Department of removable prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt.
Salah Hegazy Professor, Department of removable prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt.
Abdel Fatah Sadaka Professor, Department of maxillofacial surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt.
Ashraf Gebreel Associate Professor, Department of removable prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt.
HUMAN Ahmed Zeina Associate Professor of Plastic Surgery Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt.

119