

Human Journals Research Article April 2018 Vol.:9, Issue:2 © All rights are reserved by A.K.B. Zaman

Isolation and Characterization of Arsenic Resistant Soil Bacteria







www.ijsrm.humanjournals.com

Keywords: Arsenic, Arsenic Resistance, Bacteria, Biodegradation

ABSTRACT

Arsenic contamination in drinking water is a serious problem in Bangladesh. The soil bacteria which can degrade arsenic can play an important role in reduction of arsenic toxicity of soil. Hence, this study was planned to isolate arsenic degrading bacteria from arsenic contaminated soil. A total of two arsenic resistant bacteria *viz*. AD1 and AD2 were isolated from the arsenic contaminated soil inoculated. The optimum growths of both isolates were observed at 35 ^oC. AD1 showed optimum growth at pH 7 while the maximum growth of AD2 was observed at pH 8. The MIC results showed that AD1 and AD2 were resistant up to 28 mM and 14 mM As(III) respectively. However, both isolates showed resistance up to 220 mM As(V).

1 INTRODUCTION

Arsenic contamination in groundwater has been reported as a severe health threat for the population who are using contaminated water for drinking and/or for cooking their meal (Zaman, 2015; Yang et al., 2012). About 95 million people are vulnerable as the groundwater in 47 districts out of 64 is contaminated by arsenic consequently, 80 million people are now exposed to arsenic poisoning and 10 thousand have already shown arsenicosis patients have been identified in 30 Districts (Chowdhury, 2001). Groundwater arsenic contamination is a serious problem in many parts of Bangladesh and neighboring West Bengal, India (Majumder et al., 2013). Arsenic poisoning from underground drinking water in Bangladesh was first identified in 1993 in Nawabgonj district (Smith et al., 2000). Many people of Bangladesh are still drinking and using arsenic contaminated water for daily requirement. An estimated 200,000 to 270,000 people worldwide have died of cancer caused by drinking Ascontaminated water (Harvey et al., 2002; Meharg and Rahman, 2003). Continuous use of contaminated groundwater for irrigation made the soil secondary source of As. Arsenic can enter into food chain (Ulman et al., 2004) causing widespread distribution throughout the plant and animal kingdom. Rice grains are also containing higher concentration of arsenic (Meharg and Rahman, 2003) and represent the most common route of arsenic poisoning through food chain (Chowdhory, 2004). Rice is the major staple food for people in West Bengal and Bangladesh, is the biggest sufferers of arsenic contamination, and so protecting crops is of major importance and serious issues in this countries (Jaiswal, 2011). Technologies for degradation of As-contaminated soils and waters have become increasingly important all over the world (Smith et al., 2000; Meharg, 2004; Jankong et al., 2007). Conventional methods of controlling or mitigating As-contamination were less effective, more cumbersome, time consuming and expensive than biological methods and can result in secondary pollution (Huang et al., 2004). Microbial remediation has the potential to be a less costly and environmentally friendly *in-situ* remediation technology.

Although arsenic is generally toxic to life, it has been demonstrated that microorganisms can use arsenic compounds as electron donors, electron acceptors or possess arsenic detoxification mechanisms (Ahmann *et al.*, 1994). Since heavy toxic pollutants are ubiquitously present in our environment, microorganisms have developed mechanisms to resist the toxic effects of these pollutants (White and Gadd, 1986). Soil and water microorganisms capable of mitigating arsenic are thought to play a significant role in the

www.ijsrm.humanjournals.com

reduction of arsenic in environments, and several bacteria capable of degrading high concentration of arsenic have been isolated from contaminated soil and water, *viz. Agrobacterium, Aeromonas, Exiguobacterium, Acinetobacter, Bacillus, Pseudomonas* (Saha, 2016; Anderson and Cook, 2004), *Acidithiobacillus, Deinococcus, Desulfitobacterium* (Dopson *et al.*, 2001; Suresh *et al.*, 2004).

Therefore, isolation and characterization of suitable arsenic resistant bacteria is essential for developing a sustainable mechanism of arsenic bioremediation. The present study was carried out for the isolation and characterization of arsenic resistant bacteria from arsenic contaminated soil.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Soil collection and determination of arsenic concentration

Soil sample were collected in polyethylene bags with the help of spade from Bera Upazila under Pabna District, where soil, sediment, and groundwater in the area have been contaminated with arsenic for many years. Surface soil (0-15cm depths) were collected and dried at room temperature ($30\pm 3^{\circ}$ C) and finely ground (<0.1 mm). The analysis of arsenic concentration of soil was conducted by using US EPA 206.2, SM 3113B method. The pH of soil was also determined by soil pH and moisture tester (model pH-707 soil pH and moisture tester).

2.2 Isolation of arsenic tolerant bacteria

It was done as described by Saha and their colleagues, 2016. Briefly, one gram of soil sample was dissolved in 20 ml saline solution (0.9% NaCl) and shaken gently. Then 5 ml of soil suspension was added into 250 ml Erlenmeyer flask containing 50 ml Basal Salt Minimal Medium (BSMY) with 5 mM sodium-arsenate, which was incubated for 3 days at 37°C and subjected to shaking on an orbital shaker. Control flasks without inoculates were also prepared and incubated at 37°C with an orbital shaker. The cultures that were found turbid after a period of 0 up to 3 days were used as inocula in subsequent experiments.

2.3 Arsenic tolerance capacity of the isolates

The ability of arsenic tolerance of the isolates were determined by comparing their growth in BSMY media containing different concentration of sodium arsenate (5mM to 40mM) after incubation at 37°C for 3 days in an orbital shaker. Bacterial isolates that could tolerate the highest arsenate concentration were selected and identified by their morphological features and biochemical properties (Aksornchu *et al.*, 2008).

2.4 Microscopic examination and identification of bacterial cells

For the identification of the arsenic resistant organisms, morphological characterization, microscopic observation, growth characteristics, biochemical tests and antibiotic sensitivity tests were performed. The microorganisms were identified according to Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology (Holt, 2005).

2.5 Effect of temperature and p^{H} on bacterial growth

Temperature and pH influence bacterial growth. For the effect of p^{H} , culture medium (nutrient broth, Hi-media, India) was adjusted to p^{H} 6.5, 7.0, 7.5 and 8.0. Incubation temperature was varied at 25°C, 30°C, 35°C and 40°C. Bacterial cell density of liquid cultures was determined by measuring optical density at 660 nm with photoelectric colorimeter (AE-11M, ERMA INC, TOKYO) (Saha *et al.*, 2016; Mohanta *et al.*, 2012).

2.6 Enumeration of Viable Cell Count

Enumerations of arsenic resistant heterotrophic bacteria were determined using plate technique. Varying concentrations (20mM, 40mM) of arsenate ion (sodium arsenate) were added to Basal Salt Medium (BSMY) from a 1 M stock solution (HgCl₂). All samples were serially diluted in autoclaved distilled water up to 10⁵. Aliquots of 0.1ml from each dilution was spread on BSMY plates supplemented with 20mM, 40mM of sodium arsenate and without sodium arsenate. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. After incubation period, the appeared colonies on both BSMY containing As (V) and without As (V) were enumerated using total viable plate count method (Prescott and Harley, 2002) and expressed as colony forming units (cfu)/mg.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Arsenic concentration and pH of soil sample

Arsenic concentration of the collected soil was 7.23 mg/kg and the pH was in between 5.6-6.3.

3.2 Isolation of Identification of bacteria

Bacteria were isolated by plating onto an agar solidified BSMY medium supplemented with arsenic. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 3 days and bacterial colonies were found to grow on the medium. Results of microscopic analysis of bacterial cells and their growth characteristics are presented in Table 1 while the biochemical and antibiotic sensitivity tests of the bacteria are presented in Table 2, 3, respectively. Isolated bacterial strains were identified by both morphological and biochemical tests as *Agrobacterium*.

3.3 Effect of temperature and p^{H} on bacterial growth

To determine the effect of temperature and pH of growth medium on the growth rate of the bacteria was tested a series of investigation. The results of the investigations are presented in Fig. 1. The optimum pH for the growth of AD1 was 7.0 while AD2 showed optimum growth at pH 8.0 (Fig.1). The optimum temperature for the growth of isolates was found to be 35° C while minimum growth rate was found at 25° C (Fig. 2).

3.4 Antibiotic sensitivity test

Sensitivity of antibiotic to the isolated bacteria was performed as described by Saha and their colleagues (Saha *et. al.* 2009). Briefly, 1ml of fresh broth culture of bacterial isolate was spread uniformly on a nutrient agar plate with a sterile glass spreader. The plate was air-dried for few minutes and then antibiotic discs were placed on inoculated nutrient agar plates which were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. After incubation, clear zones indicated inhibition of growth of the bacterial isolate.

3.5 Minimum Inhibitory Concentration and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration

The MIC of sodium arsenite and sodium arsenate for three strains is given in Table 4. After detecting MIC value of the bacterial isolates 500 μ L of bacterial suspension added to 5 mL of each fresh medium tube for determining the MBC value (Table 4).

3.6 Effect of arsenic on bacterial growth

The growth curve pattern was studied by growing the organism in the presence of sodium arsenate and comparing with the control culture in which no metal ions were added. Although the growth pattern of the isolate was significantly different from those of control that indicates arsenic effect on the growth of the isolated bacteria (Fig. 3).

3.7 Bacterial Enumeration

Total viable counts were 12.15×10^4 (cfu/mg) in collected soil sample. Comparison of total heterotrophic bacteria (THB) and Arsenic Resistant Bacteria has been shown in Table 5.

Table 1 Cultural characteristics and microscopic observation of the bacterial strains

| Characteristics of the strain | Isolate AD1 | Isolate AD2 |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Colony colour | White | White |
| Cell shape | Circular | Circular |
| Surface | Smooth | Smooth |
| Elevation | Convex | Convex |
| Edges | Entire | Entire |
| Opacity | Opaque | Opaque |
| Gram staining | Gram positive | Gram positive |
| Motility | Non-motile | Non-motile |

Table 2 Biochemical test results for the isolated bacterial strains

| Biochemical test | Isolate AD1 | Isolate AD2 |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Triple Sugar Iron (TSI) | - | - |
| Citrate utilization | - | - |
| Oxidase | + | + |
| Catalase | + | + |
| Sulfide Indole Motility (SIM) | - | - |
| Methyl red | - | - |
| Voges-Proskauer reaction | + | - |
| Urea hydrolysis | + | + |
| MacConkey Agar | + | + |
| Glucose | - | - |
| Fructose | - | - |
| Galactose | - | - |
| Maltose | - | + |
| Sucrose | - | + |
| Lactose | + | + |
| Arabinose | - | - |
| Xylose | - | - |
| Mannitol | - | - |

(+ = microbial growth, - = no growth)

| | Isolate AD1 | | Isolate AD2 | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| Antibiotics | Inhibition zone (mm) | Sensitivity | Inhibition zone (mm) | Sensitivity |
| Ampicillin | 18 | S | 18 | S |
| Azithromycin | 22 | S | 22 | S |
| Bacitracin | 00 | R | 00 | R |
| Cephradine | 12 | Ι | 14 | Ι |
| Ceftriaxone | 26 | S | 26 | S |
| Doxycycline | 17 | S | 17 | S |
| Erythromycin | 20 | S | 20 | S |
| Neomycin | 17 | S | 17 | S |
| Sulphamethoxazole/ Trimethoprim | 18 | S | 19 | S |
| Tetracycline | 20 | S | 20 | S |

Table 3 Antibiotic sensitivity tests

(5-10mm) = Resistance to antibiotic (R); (15-20mm) = Sensitive to antibiotic (S); (10-15mm)

= Intermediate resistance (I)

Table 4 MIC and MBC value of the Bacterial Isolates

| Bacterial Isolates | MIC | | MBC | |
|--------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| | As (III) mM | As (V) mM | As (III) mM | As (V) mM |
| Isolate AD1 | 28 | 220 | 30 | 220 |
| Isolate AD2 | 14 | 220 | 30 | 220 |

Table 5 Total heterotrophic bacteria

| Dilution | Total heterotrophic | Arsenic resistant bacteria (cfu/mg) | | (%) of arsenic |
|----------------------------|------------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------|
| factor bacteria(CFU/mg) | 20mM | 40mM | resistant bacteria | |
| 10-2 | 12.15×10^4 | 2.55×10^4 | 2.01×10^4 | 18.23% |





В.



Figure 1 Effects of pH on bacterial growth (A= Isolate AD1; B= Isolate AD2).



B.



Figure 2 Effects of temperature on bacterial growth (A= Isolate AD1; B= Isolate AD2).

A.



В.





243

4. DISCUSSION

About 40% of situated tube-wells exceeded the country standard limit of 0.05ppm whereas the permissible level of arsenic in drinking water is only 0.01 ppm (WHO, 1993). According to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1985), the safe concentration of As for drinking water is 0.01mg/l, while the Bangladesh standard is 0.05 mg/l. The groundwater in Bangladesh is heavily contaminated with arsenic (DPHE-BGS, 2000). Arsenic pollution in Bera Upazila under Pabna District, Bangladesh is hardly affected from a few decades. The concentration of arsenic in native polluted soil has been detected and was 8.44 mg/kg but the concentration of As of this region have not been reported yet.

In this study, the sample of arsenic contaminated soil were collected and used as sources of inocula for the isolation of organisms capable of mitigating arsenic. Pure cultures of arsenic tolerance bacteria were isolated by plating on agar solidified BSMY medium with arsenic. Arsenic resistant bacteria have also been isolated from As contaminated soil by several researchers (Yang et al., 2012; Majumder, 2012; Selvi et al., 2014). During the present investigation, it was observed that all isolates could grow and withstand the sodium-arsenate toxicity up to 30mM under aerobic condition. The MIC results of isolates AD2 showed 14mM while AD1 showed 28mM resistance to As (III). But, the all isolates showed 220mM resistance to As(V). Sanyal et al., (2002) reported that six arsenic resistance bacteria were isolated from As contaminated soil. The isolates were found to be Proteus sp., Bacillus sp., Escherichia coli, Flavobacterium sp., Corynebacterium sp., and Pseudomonas sp. possess varying degrees of As accumulating abilities. Selvi et al., (2014) noticed that two As resistant bacteria Enterobacter asburiae (BC1) and Enterobacter cloacae (BC2) were isolated from arsenic contaminated agricultural soil. Both isolates BC1 and BC2 exhibited natural resistance up to 40mM and 400mM for sodium arsenite and sodium arsenate in LB solid media. In a subsequent study Anderson et al., (2004) showed that Bacillus, Pseudomonas and E. coli could also reduce arsenate isolated form contaminated sites of New Zealand. Rehman et al., (2010) reported that P. lubricans showed high resistance against arsenite up to 40 mM and could oxidize As (III). Awais et al., (2011) have identified potential strains of Klebsiella pneumonia and K. variicola with minimum inhibitory concentration of 26.6 and 24mM against As (III). Majumder, (2012) reported that twenty six As resistant bacterial strains were isolated from As contaminated soil of West Bengal, India. Among them, 10 isolates exhibited higher As resistance capacity and could grow in

concentration of 12000 mgl⁻¹ of arsenate (AsV) and 2000 mgl⁻¹ of arsenite (AsIII) in BSMY medium. A similar study achieved by Shakoori et al., (2010) showed that *Citrobacter freundii* and *Bacillus anthracis*, could tolerate As (V) up to 290 mg/l while *Klebsiella oxytoca* was able to resist As up to 240 mg/l. The results of the study revealed that all bacterial isolates did not have the same degree of tolerance to As toxicity. This might be due to developing of As tolerance and resistant ability of the inherent individual soil microorganisms (Smith et al., 1998).

The growth of the isolated bacteria and toxic pollutants resistance were dependent on P^{H} and temperature. The optimum P^{H} for the growth of the isolates AD1 was 7.0 and AD2 showed optimum growth at extreme P^{H} 8.0. Optimum P^{H} for growth of arsenic resistant bacteria was reported at ranging from 4-9 (Shakoori *et al.*, 2010; Suchanda *et al.*, 2011). It was recovered that the optimum temperature for the best growth of isolates was found to be 35°C and growth rate was moderately low in other temperature *viz.* 30°C and 25°C. So, 35°C temperature is the most suitable temperature for the mitigation of arsenic. It has been reported that the ability of the bacterial strains *K. pneumonia*, *K. variicola*, *Citrobacter freundii* and *Bacillus anthracis* to utilize arsenic individually and in combination as a sole source of carbon and nitrogen was studied in LB medium incubated at 37°C (Selvi *et al.*, 2014; Shakoori *et al.*, 2010).

HUMAN

The antibiotic resistance pattern is generally used for strain/identification in ecological studies. It is evident from the present investigation that both isolates were sensitive to all the tested antibiotics except Bacitracin and Cephradin. Mechanisms of resistance by microorganisms include microbial surface sorption, enzymatic transformation, and perception by oxidation/reduction reaction and biosynthesis of metal binding proteins (Srinath *et al.*, 2002; Zoubilis *et al.*, 2004). Bacterial isolates capable of reducing arsenic is probable due to the presence of the novel catabolic enzyme, coded by plasmid gene. Different genes and enzymes involved in arsenic reduction were described by Silver and Phung, (2005). The role of plasmid in the degradation of organic compounds has provided a lucrative ground for examining the potential and mechanisms of bacterial evolution in nature and practical consequences in terms of pollution control. Further investigation should be performed to identify the specific genes that are responsible for the degradation of arsenic.

REFERENCES

1. Ahmann, D., Roberts, A.L., Krumholz, L.R. and Morel, F.M. (1994) 'Microbe grows by reducing arsenic', *Nature*, Vol. 371, pp. 750.

2. Aksornchu, P., Prasertsan, P. and Sobhon, V. (2008) 'Isolation of arsenic-tolerant bacteria from arsenic-contaminated soil', *Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology*, Vol. 30, No.1, pp. 95 – 102.

3. Anderson, C.R. and Cook, G.M. (2004) 'Isolation and characterization of arsenate-reducing bacteria from arsenic-contaminated sites in New Zealand', *Current Microbiology*, Vol. 48, pp. 341.

4. Awais, S., Butt, A. and Rehman (2011) 'Isolation of arsenite oxidizing bacteria from industrial effluents and their potential use in wastewater treatment', *World J Microbiol Biotechnol*, Vol. 27, No. 10, pp. 2435-2441.

5. Chowdhury, A.M.R. (2004) 'Arsenic crisis in Bangladesh', Sci Am., Vol. 291, pp. 87-91.

6. Chowdhury, U.K., Rahman, M.M., Mondal, B.K., Paul, K., Lodh, D., Basu, G.K., Chanda, C.R., Saha, K.C., Mukherjee, S.C., Roy, S., Das, R., Kaies, F., Barua, A.K., Palit, S.K., Zaman, Q.Q. and Chakraborti, D. (2001) 'Groundwater arsenic contamination and human suffering in West Bengal, India and Bangladesh', *Environ Sci.*, Vol. 8, No.5, pp. 393-415.

7. Dopson, M., Lindstrom, E.B. and Hallberg, K.B. (2001) 'Chromosomally encoded arsenical resistance of the moderately thermophilic acidophile *Acidithiobacillus caldus*,' *Extremophiles*, Vol. 5, pp. 247-255.

8. DPHE-British Geological Survey (BDS) (2002) 'Groundwater studies for arsenic contamination in Bangladesh', Phase 1. British Geological Survey, mott MacDonald Ltd. (UK).

9. Environmental Protection Agency, USA (US-EPA) (1988) 'Special report on ingested inorganic arsenic', Skin cancer, nutritional essentiality, US Environmental Protection Agency, EPA/625/3-87/-13

10. Huang, J.W., Poynton, C.Y., Kochian, L.V. and Elless, M.P. (2004) 'Phytofiltration of arsenic from drinking water using arsenic hyperaccumulating ferns', *Environ Sci Technol.*, Vol. 38, pp. 3412-3417.

11. Harvey, C.F., Swartz, C.H., Badruzzman, A.B.M., Keon-Blute, N., Yu, W. and Ali, M.A. (2003) 'Arsenic mobility and groundwater extraction in Bangladesh', *Science*, Vol. 298, No. 5598, pp. 1602-1606.

12. Holt, J.G. (2005) 'Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology', Vol. 2, Springer, New York.

13. Jaiswal, S. (2011) 'Role of *Rhizobacteria* in reduction of arsenic uptake by plants: A review', *Bioremediation and Biodegradation*, Vol. 2, pp.4.

14. Jankong, P., Visoottviseth, P. and Khokiattiwong, S. (2007) 'Enhanced phytoremediation of arsenic contaminated land', *Chemosphere*, Vol. 68, No. 10, pp.1906-1912.

Loffler, F.E., Sun, Q., Li, J. and Tiedje, J.M. (2000) '16s rRNA gene-based detection of tetrachloroethene dechlorinating desulfuromonas and dehalococcoides species', Appl Environ Microbiol., Vol. 66, pp. 1369-1374.
 Majumder, A. (2012) 'Arsenic resistant bacteria isolated from contaminated soil and selection of arsenic

reducing strains', The Bioscan., Vol. 7, No. 3, pp. 469-472.

17. Majumder, A., Ghosh, S., Saha, N., Kole, S.C. and Sarker, S. (2013) 'Arsenic accumulating bacteria isolated from soil for possible application in bioremediation', *Journal of Environmental Biology*, Vol. 34, pp. 841–846.

18. Meharg, A.A. (2004) 'Arsenic in rice understanding a new disaster for South-East Asia', *Trends Plant Sci.*, Vol. 9, pp. 415-417.

19. Meharg, A.A. and Rahman, M.M. (2003) 'Arsenic contamination of Bangladesh paddy field soil: implications for rice contribution to arsenic consumption', *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, Vol. 37, pp. 229-234.

20. Mohanta, M.K., Saha, A.K., Zamman, M.T., Ekram, A.E., Khan, A.E., Mannan, S.B. and Fakruddin, M. (2012) 'Isolation and characterization of carbofuran degrading bacteria from cultivated soil', Biochem Cell Arch., Vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 313-320.

21. Prescott, L.M. and Harley, J.P. (2002) 'Laboratory Exercises in Microbiology', 1st Ed., McGraw Hill Publ., New York, USA.

22. Rehman, A., Butt, A.S. and Hasnain, S. (2010) 'Isolation and characterization of arsenite oxidizing *Pseudomonas lubricans* and its potential use in bioremediation of wastewater', *African Journal of Biotechnology*, Vol. 9, pp. 1493-1498.

23. Saha, A.K., Rahman, S.M.A., Mohanta, M.K., Haque, M.F., Naz, S. and Ruhi, R.A., 2016. Isolation and Characterization of Arsenic Resistant Bacteria from Contaminated Soil. *Asian J. Adv. Basic Sci*, *4*(2), pp.113-122.

www.ijsrm.humanjournals.com

24. Saha, A. K., Haque, M. F., Karmaker, S., and Mohanta, M. K. (2009). Antibacterial effects of some antiseptics and disinfectants. *J. Life and Earth Science*. **3**: 19-21.

25. Sanyal, S.K. and Nasar, S.K.T. (2002) 'As contamination of groundwater in West Bengal (India): Build-up in soil croup system: In: *International Conference on Water Related Disasters*, Kolkata, 5-6 December.

26. Selvi, M.S., Sasikumar, S., Gomathi, S., Rajkumar, P., Sasikumar, P. and Sadasivam, S.G. (2014) 'Isolation and characterization of arsenic resistant bacteria from agricultural soil, and their potential for arsenic bioremediation', *Int J Agric Pol Res.*, Vol. 2, No. 11, pp. 393-405.

27. Shakoori, F.R., Aziz, I., Rehman, A. and Shakoori, A.R. (2010) 'Isolation and characterization of arsenic Reducing Bacteria from Industrial Effluents and their Potential Use in Bioremediation of Wastewater', *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, Vol. 42, No. 3, pp. 331 – 338.

28. Silver, S. and Phung, L.T. (2005) 'Genes and enzymes involved in bacterial oxidation and reduction of inorganic arsenic,' *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, Vol. 71, pp. 599-608.

29. Smith, A.H., Lingas, E.O. and Rahman, M. (2000) 'Contamination of drinking water by arsenic in Bangladesh: a public health emergency', *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, Vol. 78, No. 9, pp. 1093-1103.

30. Srinath, T., Verma, T., Ramteke, P.W. and Garg, S.K. (2002) 'Chromium (VI) biosorption and bioaccumulation by chromate resistant bacteria', *Chemosphere*, Vol. 48, pp. 427-435.

31. Suchanda, B., Sudeshna, D., Dhrubajyoti, C. and Priyabrata, S. (2011) 'Arsenic accumulating and transforming bacteria isolated from contaminated soil for potential use in bioremediation', *J. Environ. Sci. Health. Part A*, Vol. 46, No. 14, pp. 1736-1747.

32. Suresh, K., Prabagaran, S.R., Sengupta, S. and Shivaji, S. (2004) '*Bacillus indicus* sp. nov., an arsenic-resistant bacterium isolated from an aquifer in West Bengal, India'. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.*, Vol. 54, pp. 1369-1375.

33. Ulman, C., Geser, S., Anal, O., Tore, I.R. and Kirca, U. (2004) 'Arsenic in human and cow's milk: a reflection of environmental pollution'. Water Air Soil Pollution, Vol. 101, pp. 411-416.

34. World Health Organization (WHO). (1993) '*Guidelines for drinking water quality*, 2nd ed., Vol. 1, p. 174, Recommendations, WHO, Geneva.

35. Yang, Q., Tu, S., Wang, G., Liao, X. and Yan, X. (2012) 'Effectiveness of applying arsenic reducing bacteria to enhance arsenic removal from polluted soils by *Pteris vittata* L.', *International Journal of Phytoremediation*, Vol. 14, pp. 89-99.

36. Zaman, A.K.B. and Haque, M.F. 2015. Management of Arsenicosis by Intake of Proper Foods and Vitamin C Supplementation. *International Journal of Nutrition and Food Sciences*. Vol. 4(6), pp 676-680.

37. Zouboulis, A.I., Loukidou, M.X. and Matis, K.A. (2004) 'Biosorption of toxic metals from aqueous solution by bacteria strains isolated from metal polluted soils', Process Biochem., Vol. 39, pp. 1-8.