IJSRM

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

An Official Publication of Human Journals

Human Journals

Research Article

February 2017 Vol.:5, Issue:4

© All rights are reserved by Faozia A. Ibrahim et al.

Screening of Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Activities of Some Native Plants in El-Jabal El-Akhdar Province - Libya

Faozia A. Ibrahim, Ateea Ali Bellail and Abubaker M. Hamad

Food Science Department, College of Agriculture, Omar Al-Mukhtar University, Albeida, Libya

Submission: 5 February 2017

Accepted: 10 February 2017

Published: 25 February 2017

www.ijsrm.humanjournals.com

Keywords: Antimicrobial, Antioxidant, Plant extract, *Origanum majorana*, *Helichrysum stoechas, Polygonum aviculare* .

ABSTRACT

The antioxidant and antibacterial properties of methanolic extracts derived from aerial parts of three libyan native plants including *Origanum majorana* L. *Helichrysum stoechas* and *Polygonum aviculare* L. were assessed. Variations were observed among the examined plants in antioxidant and antibacterial activities as well as in their Total phenol content (TPC) and Total flavonoid content (TFC). TPC and TFC ranged from 3.07 to 8.07mg gallic acid equivalents/g on a dry basis and from 0.56 to 2.04mg catechin equivalent/g on a dry basis respectively. *Helichrysum stoechas* contained the highest amount of phenolic and flavonoid compounds followed by *Polygonum aviculare* L. and *Origanum majorana* L. respectively. Antioxidant capacity using DPPH, ABTS and reducing power were measured. *Helichrysum stoechas* had the highest antioxidant capacity compared to the other tested plants. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) and minimum lethal concentrations (MLC) were determined to verify the antimicrobial activities of the plants against 12 food-borne pathogens and food spoilage bacteria. All investigated plants had potent antimicrobial activity against tested bacteria. *Bacillus cereus* ATCC 10876, was found to be the most susceptible bacteria. Phytochemical screening of the extracts revealed that all plants contained saponin, tannins whereas alkaloids were excluded to *Helichrysum stoechas* and *Origanum majorana* L. Consequently, these results may suggest that *Helichrysum stoechas*, *Polygonum aviculare* L. and *Origanum majorana* L. could be used as antibacterial and antioxidant agent suggesting their potential application as natural preservatives in foods.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, consumers have become concerned about the safety of synthetic preservatives used in food. Therefore, considerable effort has been made to find alternative natural antimicrobials that can inhibit bacterial and fungal growth in foods in order to improve quality and shelf-life [1]. This, in turn, has led to search for antimicrobial and antioxidants agents derived from a variety of natural sources such as plants. Several studies were conducted and demonstrated that plant-derived compounds possess a variety of biological activities [1-6]. It was reported that more than 1,340 plants are known to be potential sources of antimicrobial compounds but that few have been studied scientifically [2]. The Mediterranean climate in Libya favors the growth of a great number of plant species, some of which have various medicinal and antioxidant potential properties [7]. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of three native plants growing in eastern part of Libya (El-Jabal El-Akhadar province) including *Origanum majorana* L. (Marjoram), *Helichrysum stoechas* and *Polygonum aviculare* L. The plants have not subjected before to detailed study to reveal their quantitative antimicrobial and antioxidant potentials. Also to carried out a phytochemical screening to identify major biologically active phytoconstituents. *Origanum majorana* L. is the most popular aromatic plan belonging to Lamiaceae family and common throughout Europe and the Mediterranean area. It is cultivated for its aromatic leaves, which have commonly been used in fresh and dried forms as a spice or condiment in various cuisines. Marjoram leaves also contain essential oil that has been widely used in folk medicines [5, 8]. Members of genus *Helichrysum* (*asteraceae*) are usually aromatic, perennial shrubs, having dense leaves with yellow flower heads that are distributed all over [9, 10]. It has been used to treat wounds, topical infections and respiratory ailments [11, 12]. *Polygonum aviculare* L. (Polygonaceae family) is an annual prostrate herb with small elliptic-lanceolate leaves and widely distributed in the coastal strip in Libya. Plants belonging to this family are known to produce a large number of biologically important secondary metabolites, such as flavonoids, anthraquinones, alkaloids and steroids [13, 14].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1.Plant materials

Plant materials of species belonging to 3 botanical families included in this study were collected from El-Jabal El-Akhadar province of Libya. *Origanum majorana* L. (Marjoram)

(Lamiaceae family) was collected from fedia region in its season (late of spring). *Helichrysum stoechas* was collected during its flowering period (June- July) from hanya region. *Polygonum aviculare* L. was collected from south region of the province. The collected plants were carried in polyethylene bags to the laboratory, identified taxonomically and authenticated. Each plant material was washed with tap water and dried in shade. Leaves of *Origanum majorana* L., aerial part of *Helichrysum stoechas* and *Polygonum aviculare* L. were coarsely powdered using a mortar and pestle and were further reduced to powder using an electric blender. The powdered were transferred into separate closed containers until extraction.

2.2.Preparation of solvent plant extracts

Extraction of plant tissues was carried out as described by Ljubuncic, et al. [15] with slight modification. Fifty grams of each dried plant material was extracted with either 500 ml of methanol in a 2 L capacity conical flask, with shaking at 120 rpm for 24 h at room temperature (~27°C). After 24 h, each of the extracts was filtered through four layers of gauze, and then filtrates were passed through a Whatman no. 1 filter paper. The resulting double filtrates were then concentrated at 35°C under reduced pressure using rotary evaporator (R110) then lyophilized. The yield for each plant extract was recorded as a percentage of the quantity of initial plant material (50 g) and stored at 5°C in labeled sterile screw-capped bottles for further use.

2.3.Test organisms

For assaying the antibacterial potential of the plant extracts, control microorganisms including food-borne pathogens namely *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 25923), *Listeria monocytogenes* (ATCC 19115), *Bacillus cereus* (ATCC 10876), *Bacillus subtilis* (ATCC 6633), *Shigella sonnei* (ATCC 25931), *Aeromonas hydrophila* (ATCC 35654) and food spoilage bacteria namely *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (ATCC 49838), *Micrococcus luteus* (ATCC 7468), *Enterococcus faecalis* (ATCC 19433), *Alcaligenes faecalis* (ATCC 35655) were used for screening the extracts for the presence of antimicrobial activities. In addition, local clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhimurium* obtained from biotechnological researches center, Tripoli-Libya were used in this study. All test strains were maintained on nutrient agar slants at 4°C and subcultured onto nutrient broth for 24 h prior to testing.

2.4. Determination of the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum Lethal Concentration (MLC):

MIC and MLC were determined to verify the antimicrobial activities of the plants against 12 food-borne pathogens and food spoilage bacteria. The MIC of these extracts was determined by broth dilution technique according to the NCCLS guidelines M7-A4 [16]. For this, the inoculums of the bacterial strains were prepared from 12 h broth cultures and suspensions were adjusted to 0.5 McFarland standard turbidity. The investigated plant extract was prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide to produce two-fold dilutions ranged from 1.562 to 25.00 mg/ml. Each dilution was seeded with bacterial suspension in test tube and incubated for 24 h at appropriate temperatures. The microorganism growth was indicated by the turbidity of the culture. The lowest concentrations of the test samples where no turbidity was observed was determined as the MIC value and expressed in mg/ml. To determine the MLC, broth was taken from each tube, spread on Mueller Hinton agar (MHA, (Oxoid) and incubated at appropriate temperatures. The MLC was defined as the lowest concentration of the plant extracts at which the incubated microorganism was completely killed. Each test was performed in triplicate.

2.5.Determination of antioxidant capacity

HUMAN

2.5.1. DPPH radical scavenging activity:

The scavenging activity of the methanol extract of each plant on DPPH radicals was measured according to the method of [17] with slight modification. An aliquot of 100 µl of extract was added to 1.9 ml of DPPH radical solution in methanol (0.1 mM), the mixture was shaken vigorously and left to stand for 60 min in the dark, and the absorbance was then measured at 517 nm using Aquamate Plus UV/Vis Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, England). Extraction solvent (80% methanol) was used as blank, and instead of extract as a control. Trolox was used as a standard, and the antioxidant was expressed in terms of µmole trolox equivalents (µmol TE) per gram of sample on a dry basis.

2.5.2. ABTS radical cation scavenging activity:

The spectrophotometric analysis of $ABTS^{\bullet+}$ radical scavenging activity was determined according to a method described by [18] with slight modification. The $ABTS^{\bullet+}$ cation radical was produced by the reaction between 7 mM ABTS in water and 2.45 mM potassium persulfate, stored in the dark at room temperature for 16 h. Before usage, the $ABTS^{\bullet+}$ solution was diluted with 80% methanol to get an absorbance of 0.800± 0.025 at 734 nm. Free radical scavenging activity was assessed by mixing 100 µl of extract or trolox standard with 1.9 ml

of diluted $ABTS^{\bullet+}$ solution and the absorbance was measured at 734 nm after 6 min, using 80% methanol as blank, and instead of extract as a control. The free radical scavenging activity of plant extracts was expressed as µmole trolox equivalents per gram sample on dry weight basis.

2.5.3.Reducing power:

Reducing power of the plant extracts was individually determined according to the method described by [19]. Diluted plant extracts (2.5 ml) were mixed with 2.5 ml of 200 mM phosphate buffer (pH 6.6) and 2.5 ml of 1% potassium ferricyanide, and the mixture was incubated at 50°C for 20 min. Next, 2.5 ml of 10% trichloroacetic acid was added, and the mixture was centrifuged at 3000 xg for 10 min. Then, 2.5 ml of the supernatant were mixed with 2.5 ml of distilled water and 0.5 ml of 0.1% ferric chloride. Absorbance was measured at 700 nm against a blank using Aquamate Plus UV/Vis Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, England). BHA at various concentrations was used as a standard, and the results were expressed as mg of BHA equivalent (BHAE) per gram of sample on a dry basis.

2.6.Phytochemical analysis

2.6.1.Determination of total phenolics:

The total phenolic content of the extracts of tested plants was determined by the folin–ciocalteu method [20] with some modifications. For each extract 100 µl was mixed with 200 µl of folin–ciocalteu reagent. After 5 min of incubation at room temperature, 200 µl of 1N Na_2CO_3 was added to the mixture, followed by the addition of 1.5 ml of distilled water. The mixture was kept in the dark for 2 h, and the absorbance was then measured at 750 nm using Aquamate Plus UV/Vis Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, England). Gallic acid was used as a standard to quantify the phenolic content in the samples. The total phenolic content of the samples was expressed as mg gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per gram of sample on a dry basis.

2.6.2.Determination of total flavonoids:

Total flavonoid content was determined by a colorimetric method as described by [21] with slight modification. An aliquot (250 µl) of each plant extract solution was mixed with 1.25 ml of deionized water and 75 µl of a 5% $NaNO_2$ solution. After 6 min, 150 µl of a 10% $AlCl_3.6H_2O$ solution was added to the mixture. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 5 min, after which 0.5 ml of 1 m NaOH and 2.5 ml of deionized water were added. The mixture was

then thoroughly vortexes and the absorbance of the pink color was measured at 510 nm against the blank using Aquamate Plus UV/Vis Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, England). The standard curve was obtained using (+)-catechin, and the results were expressed as mg (+)-catechin equivalent (CE) per gram of sample on a dry basis.

2.6.3.Determination of saponins:

Determination of saponins was conducted as described by Obadoni and Ochuko [22]. For this 5g of each plant, sample was weighed, and dispersed in 100 ml of 20% ethanol. The suspension was heated over a hot water bath for 4 h with continuous stirring at about 55°C. The filtrate and residue were re-extracted with another 100 ml of 20% ethanol. The combined extracts were reduced to 40 ml over water bath at about 90°C. The concentrate was transferred into a 250 ml separating funnel and 20 ml of diethyl ether was added and shaken vigorously. The aqueous layer was recovered while the ether layer was discarded. The purification process was repeated and about 30 ml of n-butanol was added. The combined n-butanol extracts were washed twice with 10 ml of 5% aqueous sodium chloride. The remaining solution was heated in a water bath. After evaporation, the sample was dried in the oven to a constant weight. The saponin content was calculated as percentage of the initial weight of sample.

HUMAN

2.6.4.Determination of tannins:

Tannin content in the plants was determined using the modified vanillin – HCl method of price *et al.* [23] with minor modification. 1g of sample was extracted with 10 ml 1% HCl in methanol for 24 h at room temperature, then centrifuged at 5000 rpm. Vanillin HCl reagent was prepared by mixing, prior to use, equal volumes of 8% HCl in methanol with 2% vanillin in methanol. 1 ml of supernatant was mixed with 5 ml of vanillin HCl reagent. The absorbance was read at 500 nm after 20 min incubation at room temperature using Aquamate Plus UV/Vis Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, England). The standard curve was obtained using (+)-catechin and the results were expressed as mg CE per gram of sample on a dry basis.

2.6.5.Determination of alkaloids:

Alkaloids content was determined by the method of Obadoni and Ochuko [22]. For this 5g of each plant was weighed into a 250 ml beaker, and 200 ml of 20% acetic acid in ethanol was added and covered to stand for 4 h. This was filtered and the extract was concentrated using a

water bath to evaporate one-quarter of the original volume. Concentrated ammonium solution was added drop-wise to the extract until precipitation was completed. The entire solution was allowed to settle and the precipitate was collected by filtration, after which it was weighed.

2.7.Statistical analysis

The experiment was conducted with 3 replicates in a randomized complete design. Values are expressed as means ± standard error and the differences between groups were evaluated using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) at ($P \leq 0.05$). Means were separated using Duncan's multiple range test with $\alpha = 0.05$. Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated between the results of total phenolic, total flavonoid, and different antioxidant assays, and between different antioxidant assays. These statistical analyses were carried out using Microsoft excel 2007.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Antimicrobial activity of tested plants

Whilst aqueous extracts of these plants are shown of very weak or lacking inhibitory effects against test bacteria as shown by our preliminary experiments (data not shown). This might indicate the relative impracticability of water (at $25 \pm 2^{o}C$) as extracting solvent for the active antimicrobial compounds of these plants. It was observed that plant extractions with organic solvents provide stronger antibacterial and antioxidant capacity [24, 25] than extraction with water [26] as the latter is not a suitable solvent for extraction of antibacterial compounds from plants compared to another solvents, such as methanol, or ethanol because nearly all of the identified components from plants active against microorganisms, are aromatic or saturated organic compounds and they are most often obtained through initial extraction of these solvents [27]. In this regard, methanol is widely used to extract of biologically active plant ingredients [28-31] from plant material. Therefore, in this study methanol was used to obtain crude methanolic extracts of tested plants. In present study, the results indicated that the percentage yields of methanolic extracts of *Origanum majorana* L., *Helichrysum stoechas*, and *Polygonum aviculare* L. were 9.1, 3.9 and 14.1 respectively. The antibacterial activities of the methanolic extracts of tested plants on the basis of the mic and mlc are illustrated in Table (1). All plants showed considerable antibacterial properties. Among the tested bacteria *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus cereus* were highly susceptible whereas *S. typhimurium* and *Shigella sonnei* ATCC 25931 were the most resistant bacteria. Similar results were reported by Parekh, and Chanda [32]. Several studies [14, 33-39] reported antimicrobial and antioxidant

activities of tested plants. The inhibition activity of plant extracts against microorganisms was attributed to the presence of antioxidants such as phenolic compounds [40-42]. Most of the studies on the mechanism of phenolic compounds have focused on their effects on cellular membranes. Phenolic compounds not only attack cell walls and cell membranes, thereby affecting their permeability and release of intracellular constituents (e.g. ribose, Na glutamate), but they also interfere with the membrane functions (electron transport, nutrient uptake, protein, nucleic acid synthesis and enzyme activity). Phytoconstituents isolated from plants such as tannins, flavonoids and alkaloids have been found to possess antimicrobial properties *in vitro* [43-46]. In current study highest MIC and MLC values of the extract obtained from *P. aviculare* with some bacteria. The same have been reported by Salama and Marraiki [14].

Table (1): antimicrobial activities of methanolic extracts of tested plants against bacteria

Species of bacteria	***Origanum majorana* L.**		***Helichrysum stoechas***		***Polygonum aviculare* L.**	
	*MIC	*MLC	MIC	MLC	MIC	MLC
	mg/ml					
Escherichia coli (local isolate)	12.5	>25	25	>25	25	>25
Salmonella typhimurium (locale isolate)	25	>25	25	>25	25	>25
Shigella sonnei ATCC 25931	>25	>25	25	>25	25	>25
Aeromonas hydrophila ATCC 35654	25	>25	3.125	>25	12.5	>25
Pseudomonas flurosences ATCC 49838	25	Nt	12.5	>25	6.25	>25
Alacligens feacalis ATCC 35655	12.5	25	6.25	12.5	3.125	25
Enterobacter feacalis ATCC 19433	3.125	>25	3.125	>25	< 6.25	< 6.25
Listeria monocytogenes ATCC 19115	12.5	25	6.25	>25	25	>25
Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923	12.5	>25	6.25	12.5	25	>25
Bacillus cereus ATCC 10876	25	Nt	<3.125	<3.125	< 6.25	< 6.25
Bacillus subtilis ATCC 6633	6.25	6.25	6.25	6.25	25	25
Micrococcus luteus ATCC 7468	12.5	25	12.5	25	12.5	25

Nt: not tested. *MIC: minimum inhibitory concentration. * MLC: minimum lethal concentration

It is not surprising that there are differences in the antimicrobial effects of plant species, due to the phytochemical properties and differences among species. It is quite possible that some

of the plant's extracts that were with less effective in this study may have contained antibacterial constituents, just not in sufficient concentrations so as to be more effective. It is also possible that some active chemical constituents were not soluble in methanol [47]. Additionally, the drying process may have caused conformational changes to occur in some of the chemical constituents found in these plants [32]. In present study, the tested plant extracts were generally most active against Gram-positive bacteria than Gram-negative organisms and these findings corroborate to the observations of previous screenings [4, 29, 31, 48] of other plants for antibacterial activity. These differences may be attributed to fact that the cell wall in Gram-positive bacteria is a single layer, whereas the Gram-negative cell wall is multilayered structure [31].

3.2.Antioxidant capacity:

The antioxidant capacity of a complex matrix, such as a plant extract, is attributed to the presence of several components from different classes. The determination of each individual component contribution to the total antioxidant activity is very demanding and time-consuming. Therefore, there are several methods reported for the determination of the whole extract antioxidant capacity.

3.2.1.DPPH and ABTS radical scavenging activities

HUMAN

Phenolic compounds exhibit their antioxidant activity through their radical scavenging effects. Radical scavenging activity is very important owing to the deleterious role of free radicals in biological systems and generally proceeds via hydrogen atom transfer or donation of electrons [49]. To determine free radical scavenging activity of tested plant extracts two types of radicals, DPPH and ABTS were used.

The spectrophotometric DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical) assay results are usually expressed as the efficient concentration (EC_{50}) that corresponds to the amount of antioxidant necessary to decrease by 50% the initial DPPH radical concentration. However, this calculation is dependent on the specific conditions used in the assay, chiefly the initial DPPH concentration. Therefore, the construction of a calibration curve of a strong standard antioxidant compound like trolox or ascorbic acid allows for the interpolation of the values of absorbance variation and the results are expressed as equivalent concentration [26]. In this study, as shown in Table (2) a large significant range of activity was detected among the tested plants. However, a similar tendency was observed in both types of radical scavenging activity assays. In particular, the methanolic extract of *Helichrysum stoechas* displayed

superior scavenging activity in both DPPH (85.11 ± 0.37 µmol TE/g dw) and ABTS (72.80 ± 0.39 µmol TE/g dw) assays. Numerous studies indicated strong antioxidant properties of *Helichrysum stoechas* [26, 39, 50, 51]. This can be attributed to the higher concentrations of TPC and TFC of *Helichrysum stoechas* extract as there is a close correlation between radical scavenging activity and TPC of extracts obtained from various natural sources [52, 53]. A similar finding has been demonstrated in the plant extracts of *Eucommia ulmoides* (Du-Zhong) and *Acacia confusa* in which enriched phenolic correlated well with their antioxidant activities [54, 55]. Phenolic compounds are one of the most effective antioxidative constituents that contribute to the antioxidant activity of plants [56]. In this study, results revealed that the extracts of *Helichrysum stoechas* and *Polygonum aviculare* L. could act as electron donors and could also react with free radicals by converting them to more stable products and terminating the radical chain reaction. Other workers indicated that *Polygonum aviculare* L. extract clearly has antioxidant [35] and antimicrobial [14] effects. The antioxidant activity of *Origanum majorana* L. was reported by other previous studies [8, 30, 57].

3.2.2.Reducing power capacity

The reducing capacity of a sample is regarded as a significant indicator of its potential antioxidant activity. The reducing power of the extracts of tested plants are presented in Table (2). The results of the reducing power assay showed a similar tendency to those of TPC, TFC and radical scavenging assays. Again *Helichrysum stoechas* extracts had the highest reducing power (16.48 ± 0.12 mg BHAE/g dw) followed by *Polygonum aviculare* L. (15.25±0.05) then *Origanum majorana* L. (4.62 ±0.01).

Table (2): antioxidant activity of the methanolic extracts of tested plants

Plant species	DPPH (µmol TE/g dw)*	ABTS (µmol TE/g dw)*	Reducing power (mg BHAE/g dw)*
Helichrysum stoechas	85.11^{a} ± 0.37	72.80^{a} ± 0.39	16.48^{a} ±0.12
Polygonum aviculare L.	32.99^{b} ± 0.24	35.64^{b} ± 0.11	15.25^{b} ±0.05
Origanum majorana L.	20.49^{c} ± 0.07	29.25^{c} ± 0.02	4.62^{c} ±0.01

$^{a-c}$ Means within a column with different letters are significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$). *Values represented as mean ± Standard error of triplicate.

3.3.Phytochemical analysis

As plant secondary metabolites (phenolic and/or flavonoids, alkaloids, saponins and tannins compounds) are known for their antimicrobial and antioxidant activity. Therefore, endemic tested plants were evaluated for TPC, TFC and others components that listed in Table (3). The findings showed that TPC and TFC varied considerably for each plant. *Helichrysum stoechas* exhibited the highest TPC content (8.07±0.033 mg of GAE/g and tfc (2.04 ± 0.011 mg CE/g) followed by *Polygonum aviculare* L. (7.19±0.024 mg GAE/g; 0.87±0.002 mg CE/g respectively) whereas *Origanum majorana* L. showed lower levels of both compounds. Results indicated that plants contained higher content of phenolic compounds compared to flavonoids and such results are in agreement with those reported by Shan *et al.* [58] and Wojdylo *et al.* [59] in that 23 of the 32 investigate plants were higher in phenolic compounds than flavonoid content. In present study, common substances found in plants were alkaloids (with exception of *Polygonum aviculare* L.), saponins and tannins (Table 3). Significant differences in tannins contents were indicated between the plants where *Polygonum aviculare* L. demonstrated the highest content of tannins (275.32±2.50 mg CE/g) whereas *Origanum majorana* L. exhibited the lowest value (36.77 ±0.74 CE/g). However, no significant differences were found between alkaloid and saponins values between tested plants. Several studies reported the same phytochemical components in tested plants [14, 32, 36, 51, 52, 58, 60-62]. However, it should be noted that it is difficult to compare the polyphenol contents (including tannins) with plants found in the literature; this is due to different methods of analysis, the maturity stage of the plants, the plant cultivars and the part of plant used for analysis [25, 26, 63].

Table 3: phytochemical components of tested plants

Plant species	Total phenolic Mg GAE/g	Total flavonoids Mg CE/g	Alkaloids (mg/g)	Saponins (mg/g)	Tannins (mg CE/g)
Helichrysum stoechas	8.07^{a} ±0.033	2.04^{a} ±0.011	17.10b ± 5.60	14.50^{b} ±3.80	36.77^{a} ±1.77
Polygonum aviculare L.	7.19^{b} ±0.024	0.87^{b} ±0.002	ND	18.00^{ab} ±2.50	275.32^{b}±2.50
Origanum majorana L.	3.07^{c} ±0.413	0.56^{c} ±0.004	23.60^{b} ±5.10	24.55^{ab} ±5.05	87.37^{c} ±0.15

[a-c] Means within a column with different letter are significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$). [*]Values represented as mean ± standard error of triplicate; ND: not detected

The presence of phenols, flavonoids and tannins in all the plants is likely to be responsible for the free radical scavenging effects observed as phenolic are a major group of compounds that act as primary antioxidants or free radical scavengers [64, 65]. Tannins are known for their antimicrobial [65, 66] and antioxidant [63, 67, 68] properties. Other preformed compounds like saponins also have antifungal properties [69]. It is well documented that the occurrence of different kind of chemical compounds in plant extracts can promote their synergistic effect and results in a greater antimicrobial activity [32].

To explore the influence of the phytochemical constituents on antioxidant capacity, the correlation between the phenolic contents and antioxidant activity was determined (Table 4). The antioxidant capacity of the extracts appears to be largely influenced by the total phenolic and flavonoid contents of extracts as strong highly significant linear correlations ($r= 0.9$; $P=0.001$) were observed between the polyphenol content and total antioxidant capacity. In similar studies Katalinic, *et al*. [70] and Borneo *et al*. [71] confirmed a high linear correlation between the values of total phenol content and antioxidant activity.

Table (4): correlation between the phenolic compounds content and antioxidant activity

	Total phenolic	Total flavonoids	Reducing power	DPPH	ABTS
TPC	1				
TFC	0.990346	1			
RP	0.996739	0.984209	1		
DPPH	0.98754	0.999661	0.982209	1	
ABTS	0.990417	0.999682	0.982893	0.998916	1

4. CONCLUSION

This study demonstrated that *Helichrysum stoechas* and *Polygonum aviculare* L. Have high phenolic contents and excellent antioxidant activity. The antioxidant effect of the studied extracts depends on the concentration of phenol compounds. Extracts of tested plants showed promising antimicrobial effects against food spoilage and food-borne pathogens. Therefore, plant extracts derived from these plants could be considered as potential alternatives with

possible applications in food industry. However, if plant and extracts are to be used for food preservation, issues of safety and toxicity will always need to be addressed.

REFERENCES

1. Tajkarimi MM, Ibrahim SA and Cliver DO. Antimicrobial herb and spice compounds in food. *Food Control*. 2010; 21: 1199-1218
2. Elgayyar M, Draughon FA, Golden DA and Mount JR. Antimicrobial activity of essential oils from plants against selected pathogenic and saprophytic microorganisms. *Journal of Food Protection*. 2001; 64: 1019-1024
3. Rusaczonek A, Żebrowska M, Waszkiewicz-Robak B and Ślusarczyk E. Evaluation of phenolic compounds content and antioxidant capacity of herbs. *Polish Journal of Food and Nutrition Sciences*. 2007; 57: 483-488
4. Di-Pasqua R, Feo VD, Villani F and Mauriello G. In vitro antibacterial activity of essential oil from Mediterranean Apiaceae, Verbenaceae and Lamiaceae against foodborne pathogens and spoilage bacteria. *Annals of Microbiology*. 2005; 55: 139-143
5. Hajlaoui H, Mighri H, Aouni M, Gharsallah N and Kadri A. Chemical composition and in vitro evaluation of antioxidant, antimicrobial, cytotoxicity and anti-acetylcholinesterase properties of Tunisian *Origanum majorana* L. essential oil. *Microbial Pathogenesis*. 2016; 95: 86-94
6. Al-Rahmah N, Mostafa A and Abdel-Megeed A. Antifungal and antiaflatoxigenic activities of some plant extracts. *African Journal of Microbiology Research* 2011; 5: 1342-1348
7. Naili MB, Alghazeer RO, Saleh NA and Al-Najjar AY. Evaluation of antibacterial and antioxidant activities of Artemisia campestris (Astraceae) and Ziziphus lotus (Rhamnacea). *Arabian Journal of Chemistry*. 2010; 3: 79-84
8. Zheng W and Wang SY. Antioxidant Activity and Phenolic Compounds in Selected Herbs. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 2001; 49: 5165-5170
9. Jafri SMH and Gadi AE, *Flora of Libya*. Tripoli: Alfaateh University. 1980.
10. Tutine TG, Heywood VH, Burges NA, Moore DM, Valentine DH, Walters SM and Webb DA, *Flora Europaea*. Cambredge: University Press. 1980.
11. Bogdadi HAA, Kokoska L, Havlik J, Kloucek P, Rada V and Vorisek K. In Vitro. antimicrobial activity of some Libyan medicinal plant extracts. *Pharmaceutical Biology*. 2007; 45: 386-391
12. Mathekga ADM and Meyer JJM. Antibacterial activity of South African Helichrysum species. *South African Journal of Botany*. 1998; 64: 293-295
13. Cowan MM. Plant products as antimicrobial agents. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*. 1999; 12: 564-582
14. Salama HMH and Marraiki N. Antimicrobial activity and phytochemical analyses of *Polygonum aviculare* L. (Polygonaceae), naturally growing in Egypt. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*. 2010; 17: 57-63
15. Ljubuncic P, Song H, Cogan U, Azaizeh H and Bomzon A. The effects of aqueous extracts prepared from the leaves of Pistacia lentiscus in experimental liver disease. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*. 2005; 100: 198-204
16. NCCLS, *National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically*. 1997: 4th ed. Approved Standard M7-A4, Wayne, Pa.
17. Sudha G, Vadivukkarasi S, Shree RBI and Lakshmanan P. Antioxidant activity of various extracts from an edible mushroom *Pleurotus eous. Food Science and Biotechnology*. 2012; 21: 661-668
18. Re R, Pellegrini N, Proteggente A, Pannala A, Yang M and Rice-Evans C. Antioxidant activity applying an improved ABTS radical cation decolorization assay. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*. 1999; 26: 1231-1237
19. Vamanu E and Nita S. Antioxidant capacity and the correlation with major phenolic compounds, anthocyanin, and tocopherol content in various extracts from the wild edible *Boletus edulis* mushroom. *BioMed Research International*. 2013; 2013: 1-11
20. Singleton VL and Rossi JA. Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolybdic-phosphotungstic acid reagents. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*. 1965; 16: 144-158
21. Yoo KM, Lee CH, Lee H, Moon B and Lee CY. Relative antioxidant and cytoprotective activities of common herbs. *Food Chemistry*. 2008; 106: 929-936

22. Obadoni BO and Ochuko PO. Phytochemical studies and comparative efficacy of the crude extracts of some haemostatic plants in Edo and Delta States of Nigeria. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*. 2002; 8: 203-208

23. Price ML, Van Scoyoc S and Butler LG. A critical evaluation of the vanillin reaction as an assay for tannin in sorghum grain. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 1978; 26: 1214-1218

24. Karaman İ, Şahin F, Güllüce M, Öğütçü H, Şengül M and Adıgüzel A. Antimicrobial activity of aqueous and methanol extracts of *Juniperus oxycedrus* L. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*. 2003; 85: 231-235

25. Majhenič L, Škerget M and Knez Ž. Antioxidant and antimicrobial activity of guarana seed extracts. *Food Chemistry*. 2007; 104: 1258-1268

26. Gouveia-Figueira S, Gouveia C, Carvalho M, Rodrigues A, Nording M and Castilho P. Antioxidant capacity, cytotoxicity and antimycobacterial activity of Madeira Archipelago endemic *Helichrysum* dietary and medicinal plants. *Antioxidants*. 2014; 3: 713

27. Nostro A, Roccaro AS, Bisignano G, Marino A, Cannatelli MA, Pizzimenti FC, Cioni PL, Procopio F and Blanco AR. Effects of oregano, carvacrol and thymol on *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* biofilms. *Journal of Medical Microbiology*. 2007; 56: 519-523

28. Kim H-J, Chen F, Wang X and Rajapakse NC. Effect of chitosan on the biological properties of sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 2005; 53: 3696-3701

29. Nair R, Kalariya T and Chanda S. Antibacterial activity of some selected Indian medicinal flora. *Turkish Journal of Biology*. 2005; 29: 41-47

30. Kruma Z, Andjelkovic M, Verhe R and Kreicbergs V, *Phenolic compounds in basil, oregano and thyme*. 2008, Latvia University of Agriculture, Faculty of Food Technology: Jelgava. p. 99-103.

31. Kozłowska M, Laudy AE, Przybył J, Ziarno M and Majewska E. Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of some medicinal plants from Lamiaceae family. *Acta Poloniae Pharmaceutica - Drug Research*. 2015; 72: 757-767

32. Parekh J and Chanda S. Antibacterial and phytochemical studies on twelve species of Indian medicinal plants. *African Journal of Biomedical Research*. 2007; 10: 175-181

33. Meyer JJM and Dilika F. Antibacterial activity of *Helichrysum pedunculatum* used in circumcision rites. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*. 1996; 53: 51-54

34. Nostro A, Bisignano G, Angela Cannatelli M, Crisafi G, Paola Germanò M and Alonzo V. Effects of *Helichrysum italicum* extract on growth and enzymatic activity of *Staphylococcus aureus. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*. 2001; 17: 517-520

35. HSU C-Y. Antioxidant activity of extract from *Polygonum aviculare* L. *Biological Research*. 2006; 39: 281-288

36. Sobhy EA and El-Feky SS. Chemical constituents and antimicrobial activity of *Helichrysum stoechas. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences*. 2007; 6: 692-695

37. Shan B, Cai Y-Z, Brooks JD and Corke H. Antibacterial properties of *Polygonum cuspidatum* roots and their major bioactive constituents. *Food Chemistry*. 2008; 109: 530-537

38. Weerakkody NS, Caffin N, Turner MS and Dykes GA. *In vitro* antimicrobial activity of less-utilized spice and herb extracts against selected food-borne bacteria. *Food Control*. 2010; 21: 1408-1414

39. Albayrak S, Aksoy A, Sagdic O and Hamzaoglu E. Compositions, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of *Helichrysum* (Asteraceae) species collected from Turkey. *Food Chemistry*. 2010; 119: 114-122

40. Puupponen-Pimiä R, Nohynek L, Meier C, Kähkönen M, Heinonen M, Hopia A and Oksman-Caldentey K-M. Antimicrobial properties of phenolic compounds from berries. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*. 2001; 90: 494-507

41. Prabu K, Shankarlal S, Natarajan E and sadiq AM. Antimicrobial and antioxidant activity of methanolic extract of *Eclipta alba. Advances in Biological Research*. 2011; 5: 237-240

42. Doss VA and Kalaichelvan PT. In vitro antimicrobial and antioxidant activity screening of *andrographis paniculata* leaf ethanolic extract in Tamil Nadu. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2012; 4: 1

43. Dahanukar SA, Kulkarni RA and Rege NN. Pharmacology of medicinal plants and natural products. *Indian Journal of Pharmacology* 2000; 32: S81-S118

HUMAN

44. Srinivasan D, Nathan S, Suresh T and Lakshmana Perumalsamy P. Antimicrobial activity of certain Indian medicinal plants used in folkloric medicine. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*. 2001; 74: 217-220
45. Khan MA, Inayat H, Khan H, Saeed M, Khan I and Inayat-Ur-Rahman. Antimicrobial activities of the whole plant of *Cestrum nocturnum* against pathogenic microorganisms. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*. 2011; 5: 612-616
46. Samiullah AB, Naz R and Yasmin H. *In vitro* inhibition potential of *Lespedeza bicolor* Turcz against selected bacterial and fungal strains. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*. 2011; 5: 3708-3714
47. Stanier RY, Ingraham JL, Wheelis ML and Painter RR, *General microbiology*. London: Macmillan Press Ltd. 1987.
48. Rabe T and van Staden J. Antibacterial activity of South African plants used for medicinal purposes. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*. 1997; 56: 81-87
49. Niki E and Noguchi N. Evaluation of antioxidant capacity. What capacity is being measured by which method? IUBMB Life. 2000; 50: 323-329
50. Carini M, Aldini G, Furlanetto S, Stefani R and Facino RM. LC coupled to ion-trap MS for the rapid screening and detection of polyphenol antioxidants from *Helichrysum stoechas*. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*. 2001; 24: 517-526
51. Haddouchi F, Chaouche TM, Ksouri R, Medini F, Sekkal FZ and Benmansour A. Antioxidant activity profiling by spectrophotometric methods of aqueous methanolic extracts of *Helichrysum stoechas* subsp. *rupestre* and *Phagnalon saxatile* subsp. *saxatile*. *Chinese Journal of Natural Medicines*. 2014; 12: 415-422
52. Erkan N, Ayranci G and Ayranci E. Antioxidant activities of rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis* L.) extract, blackseed (*Nigella sativa* L.) essential oil, carnosic acid, rosmarinic acid and sesamol. *Food Chemistry*. 2008; 110: 76-82
53. Yang W-C. Botanical, Pharmacological, phytochemical, and toxicological aspects of the antidiabetic plant *Bidens pilosa* L. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*. 2014; 2014: 14
54. Yen G-C and Hsieh C-L. Antioxidant activity of extracts from Du-zhong (*Eucommia ulmoides*) toward various lipid peroxidation models in vitro. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 1998; 46: 3952-3957
55. Chang S-T, Wu J-H, Wang S-Y, Kang P-L, Yang N-S and Shyur L-F. Antioxidant activity of extracts from *Acacia confusa* bark and heartwood. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 2001; 49: 3420-3424
56. Velioglu YS, Mazza G, Gao L and Oomah BD. Antioxidant activity and total phenolics in selected fruits, vegetables, and grain products. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 1998; 46: 4113-4117
57. Lagouri V and Boskou D. Nutrient antioxidants in oregano. *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition*. 1996; 47: 493-497
58. Shan B, Cai YZ, Sun M and Corke H. Antioxidant capacity of 26 spice extracts and characterization of their phenolic constituents. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 2005; 53: 7749-7759
59. Wojdyło A, Oszmiański J and Czemerys R. Antioxidant activity and phenolic compounds in 32 selected herbs. *Food Chemistry*. 2007; 105: 940-949
60. Pizzale L, Bortolomeazzi R, Vichi S, Überegger E and Conte LS. Antioxidant activity of sage (*Salvia officinalis* and *S fruticosa*) and oregano (*Origanum onites* and *O indercedens*) extracts related to their phenolic compound content. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*. 2002; 82: 1645-1651
61. Lourens ACU, Viljoen AM and van Heerden FR. South African *Helichrysum* species: A review of the traditional uses, biological activity and phytochemistry. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*. 2008; 119: 630-652
62. Modnicki D and Balcerek M. Estimation of total polyphenols contents in *Ocimum basilicum* L., *Origanum vulgare* L. and *Thymus vulgaris* L. commercial samples. *Herba Polonica*. 2009; 55: 35-42
63. Bravo L. Polyphenols: chemistry, dietary sources, metabolism, and nutritional significance. *Nutrition Reviews*. 1998; 56: 317-333
64. Polterait O. Antioxidants and free-radical scavengers of natural origin. *Current Organic Chemistry*. 1997; 1: 415-440
65. Hasanuzzaman M, Ali MR, Hossain M, Kuri S and Islam MS. Evaluation of total phenolic content, free radical scavenging activity and phytochemical screening of different extracts of *Averrhoa bilimbi* (fruits). *International Current Pharmaceutical Journal*. 2013; 2: 92-96
66. Chung KT, Lu Z and Chou MW. Mechanism of inhibition of tannic acid and related compounds on the growth of intestinal bacteria. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*. 1998; 36: 1053-1060

67. Chung K-T, Wong TY, Wei C-I, Huang Y-W and Lin Y. Tannins and human health: A review. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*. 1998; 38: 421-464

68. Sasaki Y, Imanishi H, Ohta T, Watanabe M, Matsumoto K and Shirasu Y. Suppressing effect of tannic acid on the frequencies of mutagen-induced sister-chromatid exchanges in mammalian cells. *Mutation Research/Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis*. 1989; 213: 195-203

69. Aboaba OO, Smith SI and Olude FO. Antibacterial effect of edible plant extract on *Escherichia coli* 0157:H7. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*. 2006;

70. Katalinic V, Milos M, Kulisic T and Jukic M. Screening of 70 medicinal plant extracts for antioxidant capacity and total phenols. *Food Chemistry*. 2006; 94: 550-557

71. Borneo R, León AE, Aguirre A, Ribotta P and Cantero JJ. Antioxidant capacity of medicinal plants from the Province of Córdoba (Argentina) and their in vitro testing in a model food system. *Food Chemistry*. 2009; 112: 664-670